

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.20394 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-372 Year-2024 Thana- SHEKHPURA District- Sheikhpura

Dharam Paras Kumar S/o Sikender Bind R/o Village- Sosandi, P.S.- Rahui ,
District- Nalanda (Bihar)

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Rajan Divyanshu, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Awadhesh Kumar Singh, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. SONI SHRIVASTAVA
ORAL ORDER

6 20-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP
for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for the
offence punishable under Section 87 of B.N.S.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the First
Information Report has been lodged against unknown persons and
the name of the petitioner transpired during the course of
investigation on the basis of his conversation with the victim girl on
mobile. The FIR was, however, lodged after a delay of seven days for
which no explanation was tendered. As a matter of act, the victim
herself went somewhere along with some one else and the petitioner
was at Ranchi at the time of occurrence. Petitioner was in custody
since 04.10.2024 whereafter he was granted provisional bail by a co-
ordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 12.05.2025 and he has
remained on provisional bail till date without misusing the privilege



of the same and the counter affidavit filed on behalf of the State would rather go to show that the petitioner was co-operating in the process of investigation and the recovery of the girl, as stated in paragraph 28 of the counter affidavit.

4. Learned APP for the State opposed the bail petition on the ground that the victim girl is still missing.

5. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the fact that the petitioner initially remained in custody since 04.10.2024 and was subsequently granted provisional bail which he never misused and rather co-operated in the investigation with the police and no substantial progress has been made in the present case, the petitioner is a young boy aged about 18 years with no criminal antecedent, the provisional bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 12.05.2025 stands confirmed, subject to the condition that:-

(i) The petitioner shall remain physically present in court on each and every date fixed in the case and in the event of failure on two consecutive dates without sufficient reasons, his bail bonds shall be liable to be cancelled by the learned court concerned.

(Soni Shrivastava, J)

vashudha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

