

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.26415 of 2026**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-18 Year-2025 Thana- MUNGER MUFFASIL District- Munger

Golu Kumar son of Laddu Yadav Resident of village- Shankarpur, Ps-  
Muffasil, Dist- Munger

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

|                      |   |                                                                |
|----------------------|---|----------------------------------------------------------------|
| For the Petitioner/s | : | Mr. Pankaj Kumar Sinha, Advocate<br>Mr. Rabi Bhushan, Advocate |
| For the State        | : | Mr. Ramchandra Sahni, APP                                      |

**CORAM: HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. SONI SHRIVASTAVA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      01-05-2026                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned  
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Muffasil P.S. Case No. 18 of 2025 in a case registered for the offence punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 117(2), 109, 76, 352, 351(2) and 3(5) of the BNS.

3. As per the prosecution case, the petitioner along with other co-accused persons allegedly assaulted the informant with an iron rod after being questioned for harassing his daughter. The confrontation resulted in physical injury to the informant's hand as he attempted to intervene and protect the victim.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the allegation made on the petitioner along with one Amod Kumar of teasing the daughter of the informant and assaulting the



informant with an iron rod on his head which hit his hand, is totally false and concocted. It is submitted that both the parties are next door neighbors and on account of some personal grudge, the petitioner has been made accused. The bail rejection order also indicates that the doctor has opined that the injury was simple in nature caused by hard and blunt substance, while some injury was also grievous in nature however, it would be evident from the first information report itself that the injury is on the non-vital part of the body i.e. in the finger of the hand. It has also been submitted that in the facts of the case, Section 109 of the BNS, would not get attracted. The petitioner has no criminal antecedent.

5. Learned APP for the State has opposed the application for anticipatory bail.

6. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances and also considering the fact that there is general allegation on the petitioner and one other co-accused person of assaulting which has given rise to one injury to the informant on his hand, which is a non-vital part of the body, let the above named petitioner who is a young boy of 20 years of age having no criminal antecedent, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of four weeks



from today, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Muffasil P.S. Case No. 18 of 2025, subject to the condition as laid down under Section 438 (2) of the Cr.P.C./482(2) of the B.N.S.S.

(Soni Shrivastava, J)

priyanka/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

