

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.20587 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-315 Year-2025 Thana- AWTARNAGAR District- Saran

Mantu Manjhi son of Laxman Manjhi Resident of village- Ramgarha Rupen,
Ps- Awtar nagar, Dist- Saran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Dhananjay Kumar Tiwary, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Navin Kumar Pandey, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RITESH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 08-04-2026 Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the
learned A.P.P. appearing for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection with Awtar Nagar P.S. Case No.315 of 2025, for allegedly having committed offences under Sections 191(2), 191(3), 190, 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 109, 352 and 351(2) of the B.N.S., 2023.

3. As per the written report given by the informant before the S.H.O. of Awtar Nagar Police Station with an allegation that on 01.12.2025, dispute arose in between the parties for passage wherein 15 persons, including the petitioner came to the house of the informant. When the informant and his family members opposed the action of the accused persons, all the accused persons started assaulting the informant and his family members. The petitioner assaulted the informant with



others by *Lathi* and *Tengari* on his head.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that there was a free fight in between the parties for passage and for which case and counter case was lodged. The wife of co-accused, Ganesh Manjhi has lodged a counter case being Awtar Nagar P.S. Case No.316 of 2025 under different sections of the B.N.S. against the informant and his family members. It is submitted that the injuries have been found on both sides. The informant and other injured persons were treated at P.H.C., Garkha and from there, they were referred to Sadar Hospital, Chapra and the injury on the body of the informant has been found to be simple in nature. It is further submitted that the petitioner has got clean antecedent.

5. The learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for bail.

6. Having heard the learned counsel for the parties and after going through the records, it appears that there is free fight in between the parties in which both sides have lodged the First Information Report against each other and so far the allegation of assault upon the informant by Lathi and Tengari is concerned, though the same is attributed to the petitioner, but from perusal of the injury report, which has been brought on



record by way of Annexure-P/2, it would transpire that the doctor has opined the injuries to be simple in nature.

7. Taking into consideration the facts aforesaid, let petitioner, above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Saran at Chapra in connection with Awtar Nagar P.S. Case No.315 of 2025, subject to the conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S. with a further condition that:-

The learned court concerned shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court concerned shall take steps for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bond in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Ritesh Kumar, J.)

Sanjay/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

