

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.5334 of 2023

1. Subhash Prasad Singh, Son of Late Deo Nandan Singh Resident of Village-Rawaich, P.S.- Bakhtiyarpur, District-Patna.
2. Krishna Nandan Singh Son of Late Rampyare Singh Resident of Village-Bari Chhariyari, P.S.-Ekangar Sarai, District- Nalanda.
3. Jai Prakash Narayan Lal Son of Late Kameshwar Prasad Resident of village-Kazi Bazar Hilsa, P.S.- Hilsa, District-Nalanda.
4. Sheo Narain Singh Son of Late Ram Anugrah Singh Resident of village-Rasalpur, P.S.- Hilsa, District-Nalanda.
5. Krishnadeo Prasad, Son of Late Bindeshwari Ram Resident of village-Chandkura, P.S.- Barbiga, District-Sheikhpura.
6. Muneshwari Devi wife of Late Shukhdeo Ram, Resident of Tinrukhiya, P.S.- Hilsa, District-Nalanda
7. Brij Kishore Prasad Son of Mahabir Prasad, Resident of Village-Maksudpur, P.S.- Shahjhanpur, District-Patna.
8. Aruna Devi Wife of Late Dinanath Verma, Resident of Village- Pranchak, P.S.- Chandi, District-Nalanda.
9. Ram Balak Thakur Son of Late Raghunandan Thakur Resident of Village-Oriawan, P.S.- Ekangar Sarai, District- Nalanda.
10. Aminullah Ansari Son of Late Md. Hashim Ansari Resident of Village-Bharshauli, P.S.-Aurangabad,District-Aurangabad
11. Ambika Prasad Son of Late Bechan Mahto, Resident of village- Paingari, P.S.- Warisaliganj, District-Nawada.
12. Shashi Bhushan Trivedi Son of Late Chandrashekhar Tiwari, Resident of village- Madhopur, P.S.-Mushahari, District- Muzaffarpur.
13. Ram Padi Devi wife of Late Krishna Kant Prasad Resident of village-Dabaul, Kapasiawan, P.S.-Hilsa, District-Nalanda.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Additional Chief Secretary, Department of Education, Government of Bihar, Patna
2. The Additional Chief Secretary, Department of Education, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Vice Chancellor, Magadh University, Bodh Gaya.
4. The Registrar, Magadh University, Bodh Gaya.
5. The Finance officer Magadh University, Bodh Gaya.
6. The Vice Chancellor Patliputra University Patna.
7. The Registrar Patliputra University Patna.
8. The Finance officer Patliputra University Patna.



9. The Principal, R.R.S. College, Mokama, Nalanda.
10. The Principal, S.U. College, Hilsa, Nalanda.
11. The Principal, S. Sinha. College, Aurangabad.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Anil Kumar No. 1, Adv.
For the Respondent/s	:	Mrs. Meera Singh, AC to GP-23
For the University	:	Mr. Sunit Kumar, Adv.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 23-06-2026

Date : 23-06-2026

Learned Advocate for the respective parties are present.

2. The petitioners, who are retired employees/their dependents, have approached this Court seeking a direction upon the respondent authorities for following reliefs:-

“(i) To pay due amount of gratuity and arrear of difference of pension as per recommendation of 7th pay Revision Commission Report which has been accepted by the Government w.e.f. 01.01.2016 and given monetary benefits from 01.04.2017.

(ii) To pay arrear of difference of D.A. (Dearness allowance) for the period 01.01.2022 to 30.09.2022

(iii) To pay medical allowance due Rs. 800/- (Eight Hundred) per month as per enhancement.

(iv) To pay arrear of salary as per promotion in ACP/MACP scale.

(v) To pay all the due amount with interest @ 18 % per annum



compound for delay payment.

*(vi) To grant other relief/reliefs
for which the petitioner is entitle.”*

3. Learned Advocate for the University referring to the counter affidavit filed on behalf of the respondent nos. 3 to 5 has submitted that on receipt of the copy of the writ petition, the claim of the petitioners was duly examined and the outstanding due amount with respect to the gratuity, arrears of pension on account of revised pay scale has already been paid to the petitioners. So far the claim of the petitioners for ACP/MACP is concerned, it is asserted that the same is unfounded and not applicable.

4. Learned Advocate for the petitioners submitted that he has no instruction with regard to actual payment.

5. Having considered the submissions advanced by learned Advocate for the respective parties and taking note of the categorical averments made in the counter affidavit filed on behalf of the respondent nos. 3 to 5, this Court finds that all the remaining outstanding dues have been paid to the petitioner and, as such, keeping the matter pending would serve no purpose.

6. Accordingly, the present writ petition stands disposed off. However, if the petitioner(s) has/have still any outstanding dues, he/she may approach before the authorities



concerned for payment of the same.

(Harish Kumar, J)

shivank/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	24.06.2026
Transmission Date	NA

