

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.23122 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-254 Year-2021 Thana- SIMRI BAKHTIYARPUR District-
Saharsa

=====

Ratan Kumar Sah @ Ratan Kumar S/O Bhikhan Sah R/O Village-
Bajinathpur, Ward No. 17, P.S- Bakhtiyarpur (Balwahat O.P), Distt.- Saharsa.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Binod Kumar Sinha, Advocate.
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Satyendra Prasad, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

3 14-05-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for bail in connection with S.T. Case No. 221 of 2022/ S.T. Case No. 322 of 2022 arising out of Simri Bakhtiyarpur (Balwahat O.P.) P.S. Case No. 254 of 2021 registered for the offence punishable under Sections 341, 323, 324, 307, 326, 354, 554/34 and 302 of the Indian Penal Code which was rejected twice by the learned coordinate Bench of this Court. Vide order dated 05.01.2024, the petitioner was given a liberty to renew his prayer for bail after six months if the trial is not concluded.

3. A report from the learned trial court has also been called for and from perusal of the said report, it is clear that out



of 9 witnesses, 8 have been examined and the learned trial court has assured that the trial will be concluded within a period of two months.

4. Learned counsel further submits that a statement has been made in para 3 of the petition that petitioner has no criminal antecedent and he is languishing in judicial custody since 27.08.2021.

5. The application for bail is opposed by learned APP for the State.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case that the petitioner is the main assailant in this case, this Court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail at this stage, however the he may renew his prayer for bail after two months if the trial is not concluded.

7. Accordingly, the present bail application of the petitioner is hereby rejected.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

Sudhanshu/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

