

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.20558 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-1 Year-2025 Thana- Kanaria District- Saharsa

Ravin Choudhary @ Navin Choudhary Son of Late Kapildev Choudhary
Resident Of Village - Agardih, P.S. - Kanaria, Dist. - Saharsa.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rakesh Kumar Samrendra, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Mohammad Sufyan, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RITESH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 08-04-2026 Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the
learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection with Kanaria P.S. Case No. 01 of 2025, for allegedly having committed offence under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 109, 77, 303(2), 329(3), 329(4), 352, 351(3) and 3(5) of the BNS.

3. As per the prosecution case which is based on the fardbeyan of the informant Savita Kumari, on 27.12.2024 her neighbours named in the first information report, who are full brothers, entered into the house of the informant with an intention to commit rape and on Hulla, she was assaulted with kick, fists and iron rod by all the accused persons. It was further alleged that the co-accused persons also snatched gold nose pin,



earring and chain from the informant and fled away.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that all the accused persons named in the first information report are full brothers and during course of investigation, the Police has found the allegation against his four brothers to be not true. He further submits that the true fact is that the husband of the informant had taken Rs. 25,000/- as a loan from the co-accused persons for treatment of his ailing father, but, since he was not returning the said amount, the accused persons were regularly asking for refund of the said money and with a view to grab the said money, the present first information report has been lodged. The petitioner has got clean antecedent.

5. The learned APP for the State vehemently opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

6. Having heard the rival submissions and after going through the record, it appears that other co-accused have been exonerated by the Police during course of investigation, however, the petitioner has not been exonerated. From perusal of the impugned order dated 07.11.2025 passed by the Learned Additional District and Sessions Judge-I, Saharsa, it would transpire that the injury suffered by the informant was on her right hand, which was fractured. Considering the facts and



circumstances of the case, let the petitioner, above named, in the event of arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Saharsa in connection with Kanaria P.S. Case No. 01 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S., with further condition:

(1) The learned Court concerned shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage, it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedents, the court concerned shall take steps for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Ritesh Kumar, J)

AjayMishra/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

