

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.21398 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-240 Year-2025 Thana- MANSI District- Khagaria

1. Ajay Mandal Son of Late Bhuvneshwar Mandal Resident of Village - Paukri, P.S.- Gangta, District - Munger.
2. Sitaram Singh @ Sitaram Mandal Son of Late Mohan Singh @ Mohan Mandal Resident of Village - Paukri, P.S.- Gangta, District - Munger.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Amit Prakash, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Prem Kumar Jha, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 17-04-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioners have prayed for bail in connection with Mansi P.S. Case No. 240 of 2025 registered for the offence punishable under Sections 25(1-B) (a), 26(2), 35 of the Arms Act.

3. The case of the prosecution, in short, is that the police had secret input that at '*Balu Ghat*' of village Rohiyar, an illegal mini-gun factory is being run and from there, accused persons can be apprehended. On this input, police raided the place and three persons were apprehended. The petitioners are amongst them and from that place, two country-made rifles



with magazines and other articles for manufacturing arms were recovered.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners has submitted that petitioners are innocent and have committed no offence. They have been falsely implicated in this case. He also submits that the recovery has been made from an open place. Nothing has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioners. He further submits that the witnesses of the seizure list are police personnel and the police has not complied with Section 105 of the BNSS while making the seizure. Moreover, the petitioners are languishing in judicial custody since 18.10.2025. Learned counsel has further submitted that similarly situated co-accused person has already been granted bail by this court vide Cr. Misc. No. 12516 of 2026. The case of these petitioners stands on similar footing.

5. The application for bail is opposed by learned APP for the State.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioners on bail. The above named petitioners are directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail



bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. ten thousand only) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Khagaria in connection with Mansi P.S. Case No. 240 of 2025.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

shubham/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

