

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.20654 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-1279 Year-2024 Thana- NAWADA District- Nawada

Manju Manjhi @ Manju Manhi S/o Rupan Manjhi R/o Village- Shobhia, PS-
Nagar, Distt- Nawada

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Vibhuti Ranjan Sonvadra, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Dilip Kumar No. 1, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

3 15-05-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Nawada P.S. Case No. 1279 of 2024, instituted for the offences
under Sections 103(1) and 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita,
2023.

3. This is the second attempt of the petitioner for bail.
The petitioner has renewed his prayer for grant of regular bail
which was earlier rejected by this Court vide order dated
05.08.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 28028 of 2025 taking into
consideration the enough material against the petitioner to
establish his complicity in the occurrence.



4. In compliance of the order dated 03.04.2026, a report dated 20.04.2026 with regard to the present stage of trial has been received. From perusal of the aforesaid report, it appears that charge has been framed against the petitioner on 02.07.2025 and out of seven charge-sheeted witnesses, six witnesses have been examined in this case including doctor and I.O. It is further reported that only one independent charge-sheeted witness is yet to be examined.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is languishing in judicial custody since 30.10.2024 without any rhymes or reason and has got two criminal antecedents. It is further submitted that the petitioner was granted liberty of six months to renew his prayer for bail before the learned Court below if the trial is not concluded within a period of six months.

6. Learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

7. There is no new ground to consider the bail petition of the petitioner. From the aforesaid report, it appears that the trial is going on and is at an advance stage.

8. In view of the above, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is again rejected with a direction to the court below to



expedite the trial and conclude the same preferably within a
period of one month from today.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Rajorshi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

