

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.23270 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-838 Year-2025 Thana- MASAUDHI District- Patna

R. K. Ranjan @ Ranjan Kumar son of Shiv Shankar Yadav Resident of
Village - Vishunpur, P.S. - Makhdumpur, Dist. - Jehanabad

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. XX wife of Babloo Kumar Resident of village- Kashmirganj, Po and Ps-
Masaurhi, Dist- Patna

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Ajay Pathak, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Ram Sevak Choudhary, APP
For the Informant/s	:	Mr. Sunil Kumar Singh, Advocate
		Mr. Rabindra Kumar, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRAVEEN KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

4 17-06-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has preferred this application for grant of regular bail in connection with Masaurhi P.S. Case No. 838 of 2025 dated 21.10.2025 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 352, 351(2) of B.N.S. and Section 8 of POCSO Act.

3. As per the allegation, the minor daughter of the informant who happens to be the victim of the case aged 16 years was going to attend tuition and on the way she was



stopped by this petitioner, who started molesting her, asked her to establish a physical relationship, and committed obscene acts with her. However, the minor daughter of the informant managed to save herself from the clutches of the petitioner. It has further been alleged that the petitioner threatened the informant and her minor daughter with dire consequences. It has further been alleged that due to fear of the petitioner, the minor daughter has been unable to attend her tuition classes.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the allegation against the petitioner is false and is a result of village politics. It has further been submitted that as per the statement of the victim recorded under Section 183 of B.N.S.S., the victim and this petitioner were on talking terms and they were friends. When the parents of the informant came to know about this, the victim stopped talking to the petitioner and thereafter since last six months, there was no communication between the petitioner and the victim. However, the petitioner subsequently intercepted the victim and grabbed her while she was on her way to tuition classes, involving an inappropriate touch by the petitioner. It has further been submitted referring to statement recorded under Section 183 B.N.S.S. that no such allegation for an attempt to grave molestation has been made by



the victim. It is further submitted that the petitioner has got no criminal antecedent and he is in custody since 30.12.2025.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State as well as learned Opposite Party No.2, have vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and learned counsel for Opposite Party No.2 has further submitted that petitioner is making serious attempts to misbehave with the victim as a result of which she cannot pursue her tuition classes or move freely in the society.

6. Heard the parties and perused the records.

7. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case as well as the period of custody, the petitioner above-named is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Court concerned, Patna in connection with Masaurhi P.S. Case No. 838 of 2025.

8. It is directed that the petitioner should restrain himself from making any threat to the victim, informant or family members of the informant and would never try to contact the victim at any point of time and if petitioner gets indulged himself into such activities, the prosecution/informant would be at liberty to file application for cancellation of his bail bonds before the appropriate forum.



9. The application stands allowed.

(Praveen Kumar, J)

shivam/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

