

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.21221 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-257 Year-2022 Thana- AURAI District- Muzaffarpur

=====

Ajay Das S/O Paltu Das Resident of Village- Nayagaon Parsama, P.S. Aurai,
District- Muzaffarpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Manish Kumar No 13 Mr. Rohit Kumar, Advocate Mrs. Shreya, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s :		Mr. Rajesh Kumar

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 06-04-2026 Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and

learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with

Aurai P.S. Case No. 257 of 2022 registered for the offence under

Sections 302, 201/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per the prosecution case, the son of the

informant was in love with one Sudha Kumari and used to talk

to her on the mobile phone. Two days after the Chhath Festival,

he came to Parsama from Ludhiana after the girl called him.

After having dinner, he left the house and did not return home.

The informant alleges that all the named accused persons,

including the petitioner, killed her son.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the



petitioner is innocent and has not committed any offence. Petitioner is in custody since 02.12.2025. It is further submitted that a co-accused has been acquitted; therefore, the petitioner deserves bail, as the witnesses have turned hostile.

5. Learned counsel for the State vehemently opposes the bail application and submits that it has come during investigation that the deceased was called by the petitioner and was subsequently killed because he was in a relationship with Sudha Kumari. He further submits that the petitioner is also an absconder.

6. I have considered the submissions of the parties and have gone through the records of the case. The acquittal of a co-accused is not a ground for grant of bail when the petitioner was an absconder.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and the allegations levelled against the petitioner, this Court is not inclined to grant regular bail to the petitioner.

8. Accordingly, this application for regular bail stands rejected.

9. It is made clear that any observation made herein is *prima facie* in nature and limited solely for the purpose of adjudication of the present bail application. Such observations



shall not be construed as an expression on the merits of the case
and shall not influence the trial or any other proceedings in any
manner.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

Shishir/-

U			
---	--	--	--

