

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.20296 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-191 Year-2025 Thana- NAUHATTA District- Rohtas

1. DIWAKAR SINGH S/o SRI JAIRAM SINGH RESIDENT OF VILLAGE-
BANAHI, PS- NAUHATTA, DISTRICT- ROHTAS
2. Om Prakash Singh S/o Late Satyanarayan Singh RESIDENT OF VILLAGE-
BANAHI, PS- NAUHATTA, DISTRICT- ROHTAS
3. Pankaj Singh @ Vikash Kumar Singh S/o Late Satyanarayan Singh
RESIDENT OF VILLAGE- BANAHI, PS- NAUHATTA, DISTRICT-
ROHTAS
4. Shaligram Singh S/o Late Saman Singh @ Saman Narayan Singh
RESIDENT OF VILLAGE- BANAHI, PS- NAUHATTA, DISTRICT-
ROHTAS
5. Ravishankar Singh S/o Late Brahamdeo Singh RESIDENT OF VILLAGE-
BANAHI, PS- NAUHATTA, DISTRICT- ROHTAS

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Nawal Kishore Singh
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Md. Matloob Rab

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 10-04-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioners have prayed for bail in connection
with Nauhatta P.S. Case No. 191 of 2025 registered for the
offence punishable under Sections 191(2), 191(3), 190, 126(2),
127(2), 115(2), 109(1), 140(2), 303(2), 352, 351(3) and 61(2) of
the B.N.S., 2023 and Section 25(1-B)(a), 27 and 35 of the Arms
Act.

3. The case of the prosecution, in short, is that the



petitioner, along with others, arrived armed with weapons. It is specifically alleged that the petitioners were armed with weapons and Diwakar Singh, Avinash Singh, Ravi Shankar Singh, Pankaj Singh, Shaligram Singh, and Sarjan Singh had made firing, and certain persons were having *lathi*. Shaligram Singh, Sarjan Singh and Ravi Shankar Singh were assaulted with a *lathi*.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners has submitted that petitioners are innocent and have committed no offence. They have been falsely implicated in this case. He also submits that from perusal of the FIR, it is clear that the nature of allegation is general and omnibus and specific allegation is only against one petitioner that is Ravi Shankar Singh that he has assaulted with lathi. He further submits that the nature of injuries are simple. He further submits that similarly situated other co-accused has been granted bail by this court vide Cr. Misc. No. 75628 of 2025. The case of this petitioner stands on similar footing. Moreover, the petitioners are languishing in judicial custody since 19.02.2026.

5. The application for bail is opposed by learned APP for the State and submits that the petitioner no. 1 has criminal antecedent of two cases, petitioner no. 2 has criminal antecedent of four antecedents, petitioner no. 3 has criminal antecedent of seven cases, petitioner no. 4 has criminal antecedent of 9 cases whereas



petitioner no. 5 has criminal antecedent of 12 cases.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioners on bail **with the condition that the petitioners shall cooperate in trial and shall remain physically present on each and every date fixed by the learned trial court.** The above named petitioners are directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. ten thousand only) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Dehri On Sone at Rohtas in connection with Nauhatta P.S. Case No. 191 of 2025.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

Sudhanshu/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

