

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.20540 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-168 Year-2023 Thana- PANDAU District- Madhubani

Abhishek Mishra @ Rinku Mishra S/O Sunil Kumar Mishra @ Sunil Mishra
R/O Village- Domantha Mahadev Mandir, P.S- Pandaul, District- Madhubani.
... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Jitendra Kumar Bharti, Advocate
For the State : Mr.Rajesh Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 30-04-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. This is the third attempt on behalf of the petitioner
for grant of bail in connection with Pandaul P.S. Case No. 168
of 2023 registered for the offence under Sections Sections 25(1-
b)a, 26, 35 of the Arms Act.

3. Earlier the bail application of the petitioner has
been rejected vide order dated 21.02.2025 passed in Cr. Misc.
No. 6417 of 2025, which reads as under:

*“Heard learned counsel for the
petitioner and learned APP for the State.*

*2. The petitioner seeks bail in
connection with Pandaul P.S. Case No. 168 of
2023 registered for the offence under Sections
25(1-b)a, 26, 35 of the Arms Act.*

*3. As per the prosecution case, one
country made pistol and one mobile phone has
been recovered from the petitioner who is in jail
since 10.08.2023.*

4. The petitioner is accused in eight



more cases of serious and similar nature.

5. Considering the antecedents of the petitioner, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

6. Accordingly, this application is dismissed.”

4. The petitioner is accused in nine cases, out of which there are two cases of murder and other cases are of dacoity and Arms Act. In the trial out of ten witnesses, five witnesses have been examined.

5. Considering the gravity of the offence and the fact that the trial has started, I am not inclined to review my earlier order.

6. Accordingly, the application stands dismissed.

7. It is, however, clarified that the observations made herein are limited to the adjudication of the present bail application and shall not prejudice the case of the petitioner at any subsequent stage of the trial and this Court has not express any opinion on the merits of the case.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

P. Kumar

U		T	
---	--	---	--

