

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL APPEAL (SJ) No.1099 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-30 Year-2024 Thana- DARAUNDA District- Siwan

Dhan Kumar Singh son of Chandradev Singh Resident of Village -Sawan
Bagrah (Ram Gadhi) Ps- Daraunda District -Siwan

... .. Appellant/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Sita Devi Wife of Brajesh Ram Resident of village- Itahwa, Ps- Itahwa Dist-
Barshihar (Uttar Pradesh) At Present Residing at Village- Sawan Bagrah
Tole, Ram Gadhi, ps- Daraunda, Dist- Siwan

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s	:	Mr. Sanjay Singh, Advocate Mr. Rakesh Mohan Singh, Advocate Mr. Ashish, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Binay Krishna, Special PP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 08-05-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the appellant and the
learned Special PP for the State, Mr. Binay Krishna.

2. This is an appeal under Section 14-A(2) of the
Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of
Atrocities) Act, 1989 (hereinafter referred to as the “SC/ST
Act”) against the refusal of prayer for bail vide order dated
13.02.2026 passed by the learned 1st Additional Sessions Judge-
cum-Special Judge, Siwan in connection with Daraunda P.S.
Case No. 30 of 2024 registered under Sections 341, 323, 307,
427 and 120(B) of the Indian Penal Code, Sections 3(1) (r)(s)
and 3(2)(va) of the SC/ST Act as well as Section 27 of the Arms
Act.

3. Learned counsel for the appellant submits that



appellant has antecedent of two cases and is in custody since 14.01.2026 and the informant alleges that appellant on 10.02.2024 at 08:00 AM came to purchase straw, but an altercation took place on account of rate, thereafter appellant left abusing, further at 09:00 PM on the same day, Aman, Raman and appellant set ablaze the straw of her *Bhabhi*, Sangita, for which a case was instituted by Sangita in the police station, thereafter again the named accused persons including the appellant came and abused by caste name and threatened to withdraw the case, further on 11.02.2024, *Male* leader, Jai Shankar came to her house when named accused persons including the appellant came variously armed and attacked the house of Sangita and Rohit fired causing injury on leg of the informant and Shivam fired causing injury on stomach of her son, Shivam, while Ankit fired causing injury on leg of Manu, further when Jai Shankar tried to flee when appellant fired causing injury on his chest and repeated the fire causing injury on his leg.

4. Learned counsel for the appellant submits that appellant has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant, since a dispute had arisen with regard to rate of straw which the appellant intended to purchase. It is further submitted



that though it is alleged that appellant fired causing firearm injury on chest of Jai Shankar, but then the injury report belies the said allegation as no injury on chest of Jai Shankar is recorded, but then it is fairly submitted that Jai Shankar received firearm injury on his leg.

5. Learned Special Public Prosecutor opposes the appeal and submits that appellant has antecedent of two cases and specific allegation against him is of firing causing injury to Jai Shankar. It is next submitted that if privilege of regular bail is granted, the appellant may abscond. It is also submitted that from perusal of the allegation as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that informant, Shivam, Manu and Jai Shankar received firearm injury, which amply demonstrates the mindset of the accused persons.

6. After hearing the learned counsel for the parties, the Court is not inclined to release the appellant on bail.

7. Accordingly, the instant appeal stands rejected.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Rishabh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

