

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.5333 of 2026

=====

Jay Kumari Wife of Ramai Ram, Resident of Village-Saidpur, P.S.-Ara Muffasil, Post-Barka Dumra, District-Bhojpur (Ara). At present Member of Ara Sadar Panchayat Samiti, Ara Sadar, Ara, Bhojpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Additional Chief Secretary, Panchayati Raj Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The District Magistrate, Bhojpur.
4. The Deputy Development Commissioner cum Chief Executive Officer (Zila Parishad), Bhojpur.
5. The District Panchayat Raj Officer, Bhojpur.
6. The Sub-Divisional Officer, Ara Sadar, Bhojpur.
7. The Block Development Officer cum Executive Officer, Ara Sadar Panchayat Samiti, Ara Sadar, Bhojpur.
8. The Block Panchayat Raj Officer cum Executive Officer, Ara Sadar Panchayat Samiti, Ara Sadar, Bhojpur.
9. The Accountant, Ara Sadar Panchayat Samiti, Ara Sadar, Bhojpur.
10. Minta Devi, W/o not known to the petitioner, At present Pramukh of Ara Sadar Panchayat Samiti, Block-Ara Sadar, Bhojpur.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Shyam Kishore, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Standing Counsel (7)
For the Zila Parishad	:	Mr. Nikesh Kumar, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHA SARTHY
ORAL ORDER

2 23-04-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel for the respondents.

2. The petitioner has filed the instant application for the following relief(s):

“I. For issuance of an appropriate writ in the nature of MANDAMUS commanding and directing



the Respondent Authorities to take action against the concerned Respondents on misappropriation of the payment of the labours, who worked in the Petitioner's tenure while she was Pramukh of the Panchayat Samiti Ara Sadar, Block Ara Sadar, Bhojpur.

II. For issuance of an appropriate writ in the nature of MANDAMUS commanding and directing the Respondent Authorities to provide the master roll to the Petitioner, which has been prepared after removal of the Petitioner from the post of Pramukh, Panchayat Samiti Ara Sadar, Bhojpur.

III. For issuance of any other appropriate writ / writs, order/orders, direction/directions for which the writ petitioner would be found entitled under the facts and circumstances of the case.”

3. After some argument, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner having filed several representations before the respondent nos.3, 5 and 7, he has neither received any response thereto nor he has been granted any reliefs prayed for in the instant writ application. As such, he has moved this Court for the reliefs as stated herein above.

4. Having heard learned counsel for the parties, the writ application is disposed of directing the petitioner to file a fresh representation before the District Magistrate, Bhojpur (respondent no.3) for his remaining grievances within a period of four weeks.

5. In case such a representation is filed, the District



Magistrate, Bhojpur (respondent no.3) shall decide the same in accordance with law within a period of three months from the date of its filing.

6. The writ application stands disposed of.

(Partha Sarthy, J)

Saurabh/-

U			
---	--	--	--

