

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.20638 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-318 Year-2025 Thana- SAHEBPUR KAMAL District-
Begusarai

-
1. Manish Mahton S/o- Chhechan Mahton Resident of village- Sadpur Vadi PS- Sahebpur Kamal District- Begusarai
 2. Manelal Mahton S/o- Chhechan Mahton Resident of village- Sadpur Vadi PS- Sahebpur Kamal District- Begusarai
 3. Ranveer Mahton @ Rana Mahton @ Ranvir Kumar Mahton S/o- Chhechan Mahton Resident of village- Sadpur Vadi PS- Sahebpur Kamal District- Begusarai
 4. Ravish Kumar S/o- Bharoshi Mahton Resident of village- Sadpur Vadi PS- Sahebpur Kamal District- Begusarai
 5. Sekho Mahton S/o- Rameshwar Mahton Resident of village- Sadpur Vadi PS- Sahebpur Kamal District- Begusarai

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Kumar Praveen, Advocate
		Mr. Barun Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the Informant	:	Mr. Sandip Kumar Gautam, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Awadhesh Kumar Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 01-04-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioners have prayed for bail in connection with Sahebpur Kamal P.S. Case No. 318 of 2025 registered for the offence punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 109, 74, 351(2), 351(3), 352, 2(5) of the B.N.S., 2023.

3. The case of the prosecution, in short, is that one Gajo Mahto assaulted the informant's husband with an iron rod on the



head, and after that, Gautam Mahto also assaulted the husband of the informant with a *lathi* on the head. After that, it is alleged that all the accused persons who are altogether 15 in number have assaulted the husband of the informant who died during the course of investigation.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners has submitted that petitioners are innocent and have committed no offence. They have been falsely implicated in this case. He also submits that there is also a counter version of this case. From perusal of the FIR, it is clear that that specific allegation of assault is against Gajo and Gautam. They are not petitioners in this bail petition. He further submits that the doctor who has conducted the postmortem has found only six injuries and the cause of death was due to septic shock caused by the above-mentioned injury. He further submits that the nature of the allegations against these petitioners is general and omnibus. No overt act is alleged against them, and from perusal of the FIR, it is also clear that even no specific weapon is attributed to them. Moreover, a statement has been made in paragraph 3 of the petition that petitioners have no criminal antecedent. Petitioner no. 1 has been languishing in judicial custody since 17.01.2026; petitioner nos. 2, 3, and 4 have been in custody since 29.12.2025; and petitioner no. 5 has been in judicial custody since 04.12.2025.



5. The application for bail is vehemently opposed by learned counsel for the informant and the learned APP for the State. Learned counsel for the informant has stated that the cause of death was due to above mentioned injuries.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioners on bail. The above named petitioners are directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. ten thousand only) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned A.C.J.M.-IV, Begusarai in connection with Sahebpur Kamal P.S. Case No. 318 of 2025.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

Sudhanshu/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

