

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.25367 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-149 Year-2025 Thana- SHRIKRISHNAPURI District- Patna

Ankit Kumar @ Ved Prakash Son of Vimal Kumar @ Vimal Das Resident of
Vikas Bihar Colony, Ufarpura, P.S.- Phulwarisharif, District - Patna

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. P.N. Shahi, Sr. Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Jharkhandi Upadhyay, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

3 13-05-2026 Heard Mr. P.N. Shahi, learned senior counsel for the
petitioner and learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. Mr. Jharkhandi Upadhyay, learned A.P.P. for the
State has filed his counter-affidavit.

3. This is the 2nd attempt of the petitioner seeking bail
in connection with Shri Krishnapuri P.S. Case No. 149 of 2025
registered for the offence under Sections 103(1), 109, 115(2),
118(1), 117(2), 132, 324(4), 324(5) & 3(5) of the B.N.S.

4. Earlier, the bail application of the petitioner was
rejected on 08.09.2025 in Cr. Misc. No. 60431 of 2025 which
reads as follows:-

*“Heard learned senior counsel for the
petitioner and learned counsel for the State.*

*2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection
with S.K. Puri P.S. Case No. 149 of 2025
registered for the offence under Sections 103(1),
109, 115(2), 118(1), 117(2), 132, 324(4) and (5),
3(5) of the BNS.*



3. As per the prosecution case, the petitioner is accused of killing a police official.

4. The petitioner is in custody since 12.06.2025.

5. Learned senior counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and other similarly situated accused persons have already been granted bail.

6. Considering the gravity of the offence and the fact that the petitioners are involved in the killing of a police official, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, this application is dismissed”

5. As per the prosecution case, the petitioner is accused of killing a police official.

6. Learned senior counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. The petitioner is in custody since 12.06.2025. He further submits that though the charge-sheet was submitted under Section 103 and 109 of B.N.S. but the Court has taken cognizance under Sections 105 and 106 of B.N.S., and therefore, the offences of murder are not made out.

7. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for bail.

8. Considering the allegation, the period of custody and the fact that no offence under Section 103 of B.N.S. has been found to be made out by the Court below, this application for regular bail is allowed.



9. Accordingly, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Court below where the case is pending/successor Court in connection with Shri Krishnapuri P.S. Case No. 149 of 2025.

10. It is made clear that any observation made herein is *prima facie* in nature and limited solely for the purpose of adjudication of the present bail application. Such observations shall not be construed as an expression on the merits of the case and shall not influence the trial or any other proceedings in any manner.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

sadique/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

