

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.19407 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-120 Year-2025 Thana- SANGRAMPUR District- Munger

Rahul Sharma, aged about 30 years, Gender (Male) S/o Late Piyush Sharma,
Resident of Village- Govindpur, P.S.- Sangrampur, District- Munger

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ravish Mishra, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Lalan Kumar, APP

For the Informant : Mr. Muneshwar Prasad, Advocate

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESH CHAND
MALVIYA**

ORAL ORDER

8 17-07-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned APP
for the State, learned counsel for the Informant and perused the
case diary.

2. The petitioner is in custody in a case registered for
the offence punishable under Sections 85 and 80 and 3(5) of the
BNS, 2023.

3. As per allegation in the FIR, the informant alleged
that his sister married with the petitioner on 22.11.2019,
thereafter she went to her matrimonial house where she was
subjected to torture and cruelty by the accused persons
including the petitioner for dowry demand and further alleged
that the petitioner has an illicit relationship with other lady, due
to which, all the FIR named accused persons murdered the sister
of the informant.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case. He next
submits that petitioner is the husband of the deceased and has



never tortured or assaulted the deceased nor has abused her and has demanded any dowry from the family members of the deceased. Petitioner has got clean antecedent as stated in para-3 of the petition and he is in custody since 30.12.2025.

5. Learned APP for the State as well as learned counsel for the Informant have opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that there is direct allegation against him for committing the murder of the sister of the informant.

6. On perusal of the first information report, case diary, postmortem report of the deceased and impugned order dated 28.02.2026, it appears that petitioner is named in the FIR and from perusal of the postmortem report of the deceased, it appears that the doctor has opined the cause of death due to asphyxia caused by throttling and in paras-7, 8 and 9 of the case diary have supported the case of the prosecution and several witnesses have also supported the case of the prosecution, so I am not inclined to grant regular bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is hereby rejected.

(Ramesh Chand Malviya, J)

Brajesh Kumar/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

