

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.20823 of 2026**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-403 Year-2025 Thana- RAJAOLI District- Nawada

Vashisth Narayan Singh @ Bashisht Narayan Singh @ Bashisth Narain Singh,  
Son of Late Narsingh Narayan Singh, Resident of Village -Chhapra, P.S.-  
Rajauli, District -Nawada

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

|                      |   |                                                                                             |
|----------------------|---|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| For the Petitioner/s | : | Mr. Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate<br>Mr. Karu Kumar, Advocate<br>Mr. Shubh Raj, Advocate |
| For the State        | : | Mr. Kumar Veerendra Narayan, APP                                                            |
| For the Informant    | : | Ms. Priyanka Singh, Advocate<br>Mr. Aditya Raj Singh, Advocate<br>Ms. Soni Kumari, Advocate |

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      06-04-2026                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned APP  
for the State and learned counsel for the informant.

2. The petitioner has prayed for bail in connection with Rajauli P.S. Case No. 403 of 2025 registered for the offence punishable under Sections 316(2), 318(4), 336(3), 338, 351(2), 352 and 61(1) of B.N.S.

3. The case of the prosecution, in short, is that the petitioner along with others has got executed a fraudulent gift deed from the deceased maternal grandmother of the informant.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner has submitted that petitioner is innocent and has



committed no offence. He has been falsely implicated in this case. From perusal of the F.I.R. itself it is clear that the nature of allegation is general and omnibus. It has further been submitted that the main dispute is regarding validity of the gift deed dated 22.12.1989. It has further been submitted that from perusal of the F.I.R. it is not clear as to what role is there of the petitioner in execution of that gift deed. It has further been submitted that it is out and out dispute of civil nature. It has also been submitted that similar situated co-accused persons have been granted anticipatory bail by learned Co-ordinate Bench of this Court vide Cr. Misc. No. 9281 of 2026. Moreover, petitioner is languishing in judicial custody since 13.02.2026.

5. Learned APP appearing for the State and learned counsel for the informant have vehemently opposed the application for bail. Learned counsel for the informant has submitted that the petitioner is having criminal antecedent of 9 cases whereas he has disclosed only 4 cases.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The above named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. ten thousand only) with two sureties



of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned C.J.M.,  
Nawada in connection with Rajauli P.S. Case No. 403 of 2025  
**with the condition that while accepting the bail bonds of the  
petitioner, the learned trial Court is directed to verify the  
criminal antecedent of the petitioner and if it is found that the  
petitioner is having criminal antecedent of more than four  
cases, the privilege of bail shall stand cancelled.**

**(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)**

lata/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

