

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.19480 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-53 Year-2025 Thana- BIBHUTIPUR District- Samastipur

Bijal Mahto Son of Late Vansi Mahto @ Banshi Mahto Resident of Village-
Mohanpur, P.S.- Bibhutipur, District- Samastipur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Pratik

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ram Anurag Singh

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 08-05-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the
learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in anticipation of his
arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under
Sections 126(2), 127(2), 115(2), 118(1), 74, 109, 303(2), 352,
351(2), 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and the informant
alleges that Roshan was apprehended in a case of liquor and is
in custody, further on 03.02.2025, 10 named accused persons
including the petitioner along with 5-7 unknown accused
intercepted the informant near the house of Yadunandan at 07:30
PM and Sanjit along with petitioner gave orders to kill, on the
pretext that because of the informant, Roshan was sent to



judicial custody, thereafter Amit assaulted the informant by an iron rod causing injury on head, thereafter Amarjit assaulted Avinash by rod causing injury on head and Sumit assaulted Ram Pukar, a differently abled person, by rod causing injury on head and thereafter all accused assaulted Ram Pukar and Satya Devi along with Sumitra snatched her earring and chain.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is next submitted that from perusal of the allegation as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that no specific allegation of assault is alleged, rather the informant alleges that on orders of petitioner and Sanjit, the occurrence was committed by the named accused persons. It is next submitted that petitioner is father of Roshan, as such, he came to be implicated. It is also submitted that if privilege of anticipatory bail is granted, petitioner will not abscond rather will cooperate in the investigation to prove his innocence.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the anticipatory bail application of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner and also taking into consideration the fact that petitioner is a person with clean



antecedent the petitioner, above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks, is directed to be released on bail on his furnishing bail-bonds in the sum of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Sub-Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Rosera, Samastipur in connection with Bibhutipur P. S. Case No. 53 of 2025, subject to the conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S.

7. The application stands allowed.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Rishabh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

