

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.19425 of 2026**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-425 Year-2025 Thana- ROSERA District- Samastipur

Raushan Kumar @ Roshan Kumar S/O Amal Yadav Resident of Village-  
Chak Mahuli, Police Station- Rosera, District Samastipur.

.. ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Pratik

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Ram Anurag Singh

**CORAM: HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. SONI SHRIVASTAVA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

3      09-06-2026                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned  
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for the  
offence punishable under Sections 310(4), 310(5), 317(5) of the  
B.N.S. and Section 25(1-B)a, 26 and 35 of the Arms Act.

3. As per FIR, petitioner along with other accused  
persons are involved in committing loot within the jurisdiction of  
different police station. It is alleged that on search, one country  
made loaded pistol and one Vivo company mobile phone was  
recovered from the possession of the petitioner.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that it  
would be evident from perusal of the First Information Report  
itself that a raid was conducted in the house of one Rohit Kumar  
and the petitioner was arrested from the said house and from his  
possession one country made loaded pistol and a mobile phone  
was recovered. It is further submitted that there was no  
independent witness to the search and seizure as such mandatory



provisions have been violated. The petitioner is in custody since 07.12.2025 and the charge-sheet has been submitted.

5. Learned APP for the State has opposed the application for bail.

6. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances and also considering the fact that the charge-sheet has already been submitted, let the above named petitioner, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Rosera P.S. Case No. 425 of 2025, subject to the conditions that:

(I) One of the bailors would be the family member or relative.

(II) The petitioner would appear physically on each and every date in the learned court below and would cooperate in the conclusion of trial and if the petitioner does not appear on two consecutive dates without any substantial and satisfactory reason, the learned court below would be at liberty to cancel his bail bonds.

**(Soni Shrivastava, J)**

devendra/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

