

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.21957 of 2026**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-378 Year-2021 Thana- PAROO District- Muzaffarpur

=====

Golu Kumar @ Harish Son of Bharat Sahni @ Bharat Sahani Resident of  
Village- Gadha Hasan Ward No. 11, P.S.- Paroo, District- Muzaffarpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. X Son of Y Resident of Village- Gadha Tasan, P.S.- Paroo, District-  
Muzaffarpur

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Deepak Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Bharat Bhushan, APP

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN**

ORAL ORDER

2      16-04-2026                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned  
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending arrest in connection  
with Paroo P.S. Case No. 378 of 2021, dated 23.09.2021, lodged  
under Section 363, 366(A) & 34 of the Indian Penal Code,  
pending before the Court of A.C.J.M., Muzaffarpur.

3. As per the prosecution, FIR has been lodged against  
six named accused persons, including the present petitioner,  
alleging that the accused persons have kidnapped the  
informant's daughter.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the  
petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. Counsel  
further submits that the criminal antecedent of the petitioner is  
clean. Counsel further submits that there is no specific  
allegation against the present petitioner. Counsel also submits



that four other co-accused persons have already been granted anticipatory bail by the Trial Court itself.

5. Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that it has been acknowledged in the rejection order that the alleged victim has given her statement under Section 164 of the Cr.P.C., wherein she has supported the factum of her kidnapping and has specified the act and role of the petitioner in the alleged offence.

6. In the present facts and circumstances, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is hereby rejected.

7. It is directed to the petitioner to surrender before the Trial Court within a period of 6 weeks from today. In case, the petitioner surrenders within six weeks, then the Trial Court is directed to pass order on his surrender-cum-bail application on the same day without being prejudice that the anticipatory bail of the petitioner has been rejected by this Court and the Trial Court shall pass order on the merit of this case.

**(Dr. Anshuman, J.)**

Aman Kumar/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

