

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.21570 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-1105 Year-2018 Thana- KATIHAR COMPLAINT CASE
District- Katihar

=====

Bipin Kumar S/o Rameshwar Mahto R/o Sonaili, Kantia, PS- Kadwa, Dist-
Katihar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Sunita Devi W/o Bipin Kumar, D/o Rajeev Ranjan Verma R/o Colony no. 1,
Old Police Chowki, Just near Nahar, P.S.- Katihar Nagar, Distt.- Katihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Sanjeev Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Narendra Kumar Singh, APP
For the O.P. No. 2	:	Mr. Umesh Kumar Yadav, Advocate
		Mr. Sharban Kumar Kanti, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

3 24-06-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State as well as learned counsel for the O.P. No. 2.

2. The petitioner seeks bail, apprehending his arrest,
in connection with Complaint Case No. 1105 of 2018, filed for
the offences punishable under Section 498A of the IPC.

3. As per allegation, subsequent to the marriage,
additional demand of dowry started by the petitioner and his
family members and on account of non-fulfillment of the same,
she was subjected to torture by the petitioner and his family
members.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the



Petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. He further submits that the case has been found untrue by learned Judicial Magistrate in complaint case against the other co-accused and even the case against the petitioner is false and fabricated. He further submits that the maximum punishment prescribed for the alleged offence is three years.

5. It is also stated in paragraph no. 2 of the bail petition that the petitioner has not moved this Court earlier either for anticipatory bail or regular one in the instant case.

6. It has further been stated in paragraph no.3 of the bail petition that the petitioner has no criminal antecedents.

7. However, learned APP for the State and learned counsel for the O.P. No. 2 vehemently oppose the prayer of the Petitioner for bail.

8. Considering the nature of allegation and quantum of sentence prescribed for the alleged offence, **this petition is allowed**, directing the petitioner, above-named, to be enlarged on bail, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt / production of a copy of this order, on his furnishing bail bonds in the sum of Rs. 10,000 /- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned concerned



Court below, in connection with Complaint Case No. 1105 of 2018, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the B.N.S.S 2023, and on the following conditions:

(i) In case, it is brought to the notice of the court below that the petitioner has any criminal antecedents, learned court below shall cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner after hearing him and getting satisfied that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedents despite his knowledge of the same.

(ii) In case, it is brought to the notice of the court below that statement regarding previous bail petition is wrong, learned court below shall cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

9. The complaint is at liberty to approach learned Family Court, as per law.

(Jitendra Kumar, J)

shoaib/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

