

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.21945 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-488 Year-2024 Thana- SUGAULI District- East Champaran

1. Munna Petiwala @ Md. Munna Son of Izhar Hussain Resident of Village- Hasanpur, P.S.- Sugauli, District- East Champaran
2. Chanda Khatoon Wife of Sharukh Alam @ Sharukh Ali Resident of Village- Hasanpur, P.S.- Sugauli, District- East Champaran
3. Saira Khatoon wife of Munna Petiwala @ Md. Munna Resident of Village- Hasanpur, P.S.- Sugauli, District- East Champaran
4. Sabba Khatoon @ Sabba Wife of Farukh Alam @ Faruk ali Resident of Village- Hasanpur, P.S.- Sugauli, District- East Champaran
5. Sharukh Alam @ Sharukh Ali Son of Munna Petiwala @ Md. Munna Resident of Village- Hasanpur, P.S.- Sugauli, District- East Champaran
6. Shabaz Alam @ Sahbaj Ali Son of Munna Petiwala @ Md. Munna Resident of Village- Hasanpur, P.S.- Sugauli, District- East Champaran
7. Farukh Alam @ Faruk Ali Son of Munna Petiwala @Md. Munna Resident of Village- Hasanpur, P.S.- Sugauli, District- East Champaran
8. Sabnam Khatoon @ Ruksana Khatoon @ Shabnam @ Ruksana Daughter of Munna Petiwala @ Md. Munna Resident of Village- Hasanpur, P.S.- Sugauli, District- East Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Krishna Kant Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Zainul Abedin, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN
ORAL ORDER

2 16-04-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioners are apprehending arrest in connection with Sugauli P.S. Case No. 488 of 2024, dated 04.11.2024, lodged under Sections 80 & 3(5) of the Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (hereinafter referred to as “BNS, 2023”).



3. As per the prosecution, FIR has been lodged against nine named accused persons, including the present petitioners, stating that the marriage of the informant's daughter was solemnized with one Shaif Alam, and she was subjected to torture for the demand of dowry. It has been further alleged that although the informant's side assured to make payment of Rs. 5,00,000/- in future, but before that his daughter was no more, and by the time he reached there, the dead body was disposed of.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are innocent and have committed no offence. Counsel further submits that the husband of the deceased, who is the prime accused, is already in judicial custody, and the rest of the persons are relatives of the deceased's husband, and due to this reason, they have been made accused. Counsel further submits that the trial is going on. Counsel also submits that the criminal antecedents of the petitioners are clean.

5. Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioners but fairly submits that the deceased's husband is in judicial custody and the allegations are general and omnibus in nature.

6. As such, in the present facts and circumstances of



this case, let the above named petitioners be released on anticipatory bail, in the event of arrest or surrender before the Trial Court within a period of 4 weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs.30,000/- (Rupees Thirty Thousand) each as mentioned in Section 2(1)(d) of the BNSS, 2023 to the satisfaction of Judicial Magistrate-1st Class, Motihari, in connection with Sugauli P.S. Case No. 488 of 2024, subject to the conditions as laid down U/s 482(2) of the BNSS, 2023.

7. It is made clear that if the charge has not been framed and the petitioners fail to appear on the date of framing of charge, the Trial Court shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of the bail bonds.

(Dr. Anshuman, J.)

Aman Kumar/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

