

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.22394 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-103 Year-2025 Thana- VIGILANCE District- Patna

Rajdeep Kumar Paswan @ Rajdeep Paswan @ Rajaswa Karchari Son of
Rasbihari Paswan R/o Village - Arak, P.S. - Krishna, Brahma, Distt.- Buxar.
... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Vigilance Investigation Bureau, Bihar, Patna.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Kumar Amit, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Md. Ataur Rahman, APP
For the Vigilance	:	Mr. Arvind Kumar, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 08-04-2026 Heard Mr. Kumar Amit, learned counsel for the

petitioner, Mr. Arvind Kumar, learned counsel for the Vigilance
and Md. Ataur Rahman, learned Additional Public Prosecutor
for the State.

2. Petitioner seeks bail who is in custody since
02.12.2025 in connection with Vigilance P.S. Case No. 103 of
2025, F.I.R. dated 01.12.2025 for the offences punishable under
Sections 7(a), 7(c), 12 and 61(2) of the Prevention of Corruption
Act, 1988.

3. According to prosecution case, this petitioner
posted as Rajaswa Karmchari demanded Rs. 2,50,000/- as a
bribe for facilitating the work of the informant.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that



petitioner has clean antecedent and he has falsely been implicated in the present case. The allegation as alleged in the FIR is false and fabricated and the petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged in the FIR. He further submits that the petitioner is Rajaswa Karmchari in the district of Kishanganj and as per the allegation, the petitioner has demanded Rs. 2,50,000/- from the informant and the petitioner was caught red handed with the said amount. He further submits that for the same set of allegation the petitioner has been dismissed from the service. He further submits that the police after investigation submitted the charge sheet against the petitioner. The petitioner is in custody since 02.12.2025.

5. The learned counsel for the Vigilance as well as learned Additional Public Prosecutor have vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that the petitioner has caught red handed with the aforesaid amount in the trial proceedings and he has accepted his guilt in the present crime in question.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Additional



Sessions Judge-II-cum-Special Judge (Vigilance), Bhagalpur in connection with Vigilance P.S. Case No. 103 of 2025, subject to the following conditions:-

i. Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

ii. If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

iii. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Vanisha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

