

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.21839 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-82 Year-2022 Thana- JEHANABAD COMPLAINT CASE
District- Jehanabad

=====

Raushan Kumar S/o- Pramod Sharma R/v- South Daulatpur, Raja Bazar Ps-
Jehanabad, Dist- Jehanabad, P/A Village- Gonwan PS- Parash Bigha District-
Jehanabad

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Ranjit Kumar S/o- Binod Kumar R/v- Utrawan Ps- Kurtha Dist- Arwal

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Anil Kumar Singh, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Damodar Prasad Tiwary, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN
ORAL ORDER

2 08-04-2026 Heard Learned Counsel for the petitioner and

Learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending arrest in connection with Complaint Case No.82 of 2022, for the offences punishable under Sections 120B, 406 and 420 of the IPC., but cognizance has been taken under Sections 406 and 420 of the IPC vide order dated 24.01.2023.

3. As per the prosecution, complaint case has been lodged against two named accused persons including the present petitioner with allegation that they have made forgery in the sale and purchase of land.

4. Learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that the



petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. Counsel also submits that it is the complaint case and according to law of land, every complaint must be supported by Solemn Affirmation (S.A.). He further submits that in the certified copy of the complaint, S.A. of the complainant is recorded by learned Court and upon bare reading of the said S.A., specific allegation is against accused No.1 and not against the petitioner.

5. Counsel submits that the criminal antecedent of the petitioner is clean and accused No.1 and 2 are brother-in-laws (साला-बहनोई). He further submits that the complaint case has been lodged only with a view to create pressure as petitioner is the medical representative.

6. Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that in the S.A. of the complainant, there is nothing against the petitioner.

7. As such, in the present facts and circumstances of this case, let the above named petitioner be released on anticipatory bail, in the event of arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of 4 weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs.30,000/- (Rupees Thirty Thousand) as mentioned in Section 2(1)(d) of the BNSS, 2023 to the satisfaction of Chief Judicial Magistrate, Jehanabad, in



connection with Complaint Case No.82 of 2022, subject to the
conditions as laid down U/s 482(2) of the BNSS, 2023.

(Dr. Anshuman, J)

Prakashmani/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

