

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.4226 of 2026

=====

Md. Kutubuddin Ansari Son of Asmahamad Ansari, Resident of Village-
Khaira Asha, P.S.- Baikunthpur, District - Gopalganj.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Department of Revenue and Land Reforms, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Secretary, Panchayati Raj Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director, Panchayati Raj Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Divisional Commissioner, Saran Division, Chapra.
5. The Collector -cum- District Magistrate, Gopalganj.
6. The District Panchayati Raj Officer, Gopalganj.
7. The Executive Engineer, Local Area Engineering Organisation (LAEO) Works Division, Gopalganj.
8. The Circle Officer, Baikunthpur, District - Gopalganj.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Sanjeev Kumar, Advocate
	:	Mr. Prasoon Shekhar, Advocate
	:	Mr. Uday Pratap Singh, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Standing Counsel (24)
	:	Mr. Sudhanshu Shekhar, AC to SC-24

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 07-04-2026 Heard Mr. Sanjeev Kumar, learned counsel for the

petitioner duly assisted by Mr. Prasoon Shekhar as also learned

State counsel.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the

grant of following relief(s):

*“(i) a writ in the nature of Certiorari or any
other appropriate writ for quashing the letter
dated 20.02.2026 by which the description of*



lands has been provided by the District Panchayati Raj Officer, Gopalganj to the Director, Panchayati Raj Department, Government of Bihar, Patna for providing approval for construction of Pyarepur Panchayat Sarkar Bhawan, so far as it concerns Panchayat - Pyarepur, Block Baikunthpur.

(ii) a writ in the nature of Mandamus or any other appropriate writ/order/direction commanding the Respondent authorities not to construct the Pyarepur Panchayat Sarkar Bhawan over the land of the Petitioner, which had been settled in favour of the ancestors of the Petitioner prior to independence by the ex-landlord.

(iii) for any other relief(s) to which the Petitioners may be found entitled.”

3. After some argument, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though the representation is before the respondent no.5, the Collector-cum-District Magistrate, Gopalganj, a fresh representation shall be filed.

4. Learned State counsel submits that the same shall



be taken to its logical conclusion.

5. In that background, the writ petition is disposed of allowing the petitioner to file a fresh representation before the respondent no.5, the Collector-cum-District Magistrate, Gopalganj who shall be taking the matter to its logical conclusion at an earliest, preferably within a period of six months.

6. Before parting, this Court would like to put on record its word of appreciation for Mr. Prasoon Shekhar, for the proper assistance rendered in the matter.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

vinayak/-

U			
---	--	--	--

