

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.20819 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-128 Year-2025 Thana- MESKAUR District- Nawada

Kacho Devi D/o Sita Ram Rajbanshi, W/o Sunil Kumar R/o Vill - Chitarghati,
P.S - Hisua, Dist - Nawada

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Birendra Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Shantanu Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRAVEEN KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

4 14-05-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
counsel for the informant and learned A.P.P for the State.

2. The petitioner has preferred this application for grant of regular bail in connection with Meskaur P.S. Case No. 128 of 2025 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 137(2), 103(1) and 3(5) of the BNS

3. As per the prosecution case, on 08.06.2025 at about 10:00 A.M., the daughter of the informant went along with the petitioner and did not return. Despite a hectic search, no trace of her could be found. Thereafter, a suspicion was raised by the informant that all the named accused persons, including the petitioner, had conspired to kidnap his daughter and make her disappear.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted



that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. It has further been submitted that there is considerable delay of 15 days in lodging the present F.I.R., without any plausible explanation. It has further been submitted that there is self-confessional statement of the petitioner and the said confessional statement has not led to the recovery of any incriminating article. It has further been submitted that petitioner is a lady and co-accused Karan Kumar has been granted the privilege of bail by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court by order dated 09.04.2026 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 7734 of 2026. It has further been submitted that the case rests on circumstantial evidence and except the last seen of the deceased with the petitioner prior to 15 days, there is nothing to prove the complicity of the petitioner in the said occurrence. Lastly, it has been submitted that the petitioner has got clean criminal antecedent and is in custody since 28.09.2025.

5. The learned APP for the State as well as learned counsel for the informant have vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner. It has further been submitted that the deceased was last seen with the petitioner and there existed a motive for the petitioner to commit the murder of the deceased.

6. Heard the parties and perused the record.



7. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioner above-named, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Court concerned, Nawada, in connection with Meskaur P.S. Case No. 128 of 2025, with condition(s):-

(i) the petitioner is directed to remain physically present before the learned Court below on each and every date fixed in the trial, failure on two consecutive dates without reasonable cause, the bail bonds of the petitioner would be liable to be cancelled.

(ii) the petitioner shall not tamper with the evidence, and in the event of any complaint in this regard, the court below shall be at liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

8. The application stands allowed.

(Praveen Kumar, J)

Jyoti/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

