

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.24426 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-173 Year-2021 Thana- SAUR BAZAR District- Saharsa

Sanjeev Yadav @ Sanjeev Kumar @ Sanjeev Kumar Yadav S/O Sadanand
Yadav R/V - Kanp Purvi Thengha Ward No 03, P.S- Saur Bazar, Dist- Saharsa
... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State Of Bihar Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s :	Mr. Shiva Shankar Sharma, Advocate Mr. Arun Kumar Sinha, Advocate Mr. Rajiv Kumar Sharma, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s :	Mr. Prem Kumar Jha, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHA SARTHY
ORAL ORDER

3 01-05-2026

1. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. The petitioner has renewed his prayer for grant of regular bail in connection with Sessions Trial no.63 of 2022/118 of 2023, arising out of Sour Bazar P.S. Case no.173 of 2021 registered under sections 302, 307, 147, 148, 149, 341, 323, 324, 325, 326 and 120B of the Indian Penal Code and section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, it is stated that as a result of the order given by one Vidyanand Yadav the accused persons including the petitioner herein resorted to firing as a result of which Jaikrishna Yadav sustained firearm injury and subsequently died.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the earlier applications for bail of the petitioner were rejected on



several occasions, the last being vide order dated 12.9.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. no.8905 of 2025. Inspite of the petitioner having remained in custody since 13.7.2021, the trial has still not concluded. As such he be enlarged on bail.

5. The prayer for bail is opposed by learned A.P.P for the State.

6. A report was called for from the learned trial Court. As per the report dated 20.4.2026 received from the District & Additional Sessions Judge-II, Saharsa, all chargesheet witnesses have been examined and the case is pending for recording of the statement of the accused under the provision equivalent to old section 313 of the Cr.P.C. The report further states that the trial is expected to conclude within a period of two months.

7. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and taking into consideration the allegations against the petitioner in the F.I.R and the trial having neared its conclusion, the Court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail and the application is rejected.

8. Learned trial Court is directed to expedite the trial.

(Partha Sarthy, J)

Shiv/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

