

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.22916 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-195 Year-2025 Thana- MANSI District- Khagaria

Shashi Yadav S/o- Mahavir Yadav Resident Haripur PS- Salkhuwa District- Saharsa

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Santosh Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the Informant	:	Mr. Binod Murari Mishra, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Madhura Nand Jha, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 08-04-2026 Heard Mr. Santosh Kumar Singh, learned counsel for the petitioner, Mr. Binod Murari Mishra, learned counsel for the Informant and Mr. Madhura Nand Jha, learned APP for the State.

2. Petitioner seeks bail, who is in custody since 09.08.2025, in connection with Mansi P.S. Case No. 195 of 2025, F.I.R. dated 08.08.2025 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 140(1), 140(2), 140(3), 3(5) of the B.N.S.

3. As per the prosecution case, the informant alleged that his adult son was abducted by the petitioner and others and they had been demanding Rs. 50,000/-

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has clean antecedent and he has been falsely implicated in the present case. He further submits that the allegation as alleged in the F.I.R. is false and fabricate and the petitioner has not



committed any offence as alleged in the F.I.R. He further submits that the victim was recovered and his statement under Section 183 of the B.N.S.S was recorded in which he has not supported the case of the prosecution and co-accused person, namely, Md. Saddam @ Md. Saddam @ Mohammad Saddam against whom the similar allegation has been granted bail by a Coordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 11.02.2026 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 83347 of 2025. He further submits that the police after investigation submitted chargesheet against the petitioner and the petitioner is in custody since 09.08.2025.

5. Learned counsel for the Informant as well as learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State, on the other hand, vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the fact that the petitioner having clean antecedent and similarly situated co-accused person has been granted bail by a Coordinate Bench of this Court as well as the victim has not supported the case of the prosecution, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Khagaria in connection with Mansi P.S. Case No. 195 of 2025, subject to the following conditions :-



(1) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

(2) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witness, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(3) And, further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage, it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedents, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Ibrar//-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

