

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.20172 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-560 Year-2025 Thana- RAMPUR District- Gaya

=====

Pramod Chaudhary Son of Late Paras Chaudhary Resident of Village- Gewal
Bigha, P.S.- Rampur, Dist.- Gaya Ji

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Pushp Raj Singh
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Md. Nazir Ansari

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 31-03-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case
registered for the offence punishable under Section 30(a) of
Bihar Prohibition and Excise Amendment Act.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and allegation is of
recovery of 28 litres of liquor from a sack. It is next submitted
that petitioner was not arrested from the spot as such nothing
was recovered from his conscious possession and the alleged
recovery is from a place which does not belong to the petitioner
and he came to be implicated at the instance of local person but
then the name of the person who disclosed the name of the
petitioner is not disclosed in the FIR which casts an aspersion



on the case of the prosecution, when petitioner has not been implicated based on secret information and is a person with clean antecedent.

4. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

5. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be released on provisional anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.500/- (Rupees five hundred) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Rampur P.S. Case No.560/2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S.

6. It is made clear that the learned trial court thereafter shall verify the criminal antecedents of the petitioner and in the event if it is found that petitioner has antecedent of even one case, in that event, it would be presumed that petitioner had concealed his antecedent before this court, as such, the provisional anticipatory bail order shall not be confirmed but if on verification it is found that petitioner is a person with clean antecedent, in that event the provisional anticipatory bail order



shall be confirmed forthwith.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

amit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

