

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.19960 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-290 Year-2022 Thana- MEHANDIA District- Jehanabad

Neyaz Alam @ Sonu S/o Bafiz Shabir Ansari @ Babir Ansari Resident of
Village- Narak Bigha, P.S-Mehandia, District- Arwal

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ramakant Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Damodar Prasad Tiwary, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. SONI SHRIVASTAVA
ORAL ORDER

2 03-04-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Section 279 and 304A of the Indian Penal Code, later on Section 341, 304 and 504 of the Indian Penal Code was added.

3. Earlier the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner had been withdrawn by way of Annexure-P/1 vide order dated 21.07.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No.622 of 2025, as the F.I.R. had been registered under bailable sections of the Indian Penal Code. However, it has been pointed out that, subsequently, in course of investigation, after three years, non-bailable sections have been added which would be apparent from the F.I.R.



4. The allegation is only confined to an accident caused by a speeding truck which was being driven with negligence. However, subsequently, the allegation has been introduced that the deceased was pushed before the truck on account of which his death took place.

5. It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that such introduction of new facts has been done by a design in order to increase the gravity of the offence. In any view of the matter, it has been submitted that the provision which has been newly inserted also does not indicate the intention to cause death of the deceased. The petitioner has no criminal antecedent.

6. Learned APP for the State opposed the prayer for bail.

7. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the fact that after lapse of three years, non-bailable sections have been added after introduction of new facts, let the above named petitioner, be released on bail, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of four weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of



the learned Court below where the case is pending/successor Court in connection with Mahendia P.S. Case No. 290 of 2022, subject to the condition as laid down under Section 438 (2) of the Cr.P.C./Section 482 (2) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), 2023.

(Soni Shrivastava, J)

Trivedi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

