

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.18655 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-330 Year-2022 Thana- RAJAON District- Banka

Manish Yadav @ Manish Kumar S/O Pappu Yadav Resident of Village - Bhat
Korama, P.S- Rajaon , Dist- Banka

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Pankaj Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Rabindra Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 07-05-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the
learned APP for the State, Mr. Rabindra Kumar.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for the
offences punishable under Sections 147, 148, 149, 323, 186,
332, 333, 307, 353, 504 and 506 of the Indian Penal Code read
with Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner has antecedent of three cases and is in custody since
23.01.2026 and the informant alleges that on 09.07.2022 at
08:00 AM, he received an information regarding illegal sand
mining, accordingly, he reached the place of occurrence and
seized two tractors of Mahindra and Swaraj company, further
the named accused persons including the petitioner came and
started firing and fled with Swaraj tractor, but while fleeing the



accused left motorcycle bearing Registration No. BR 51-H-5105

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that since petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is further submitted that though allegation of firing is alleged, but then no one was injured in the firing and the said allegation has been alleged only to give seriousness to the occurrence. It is also submitted that even the motorcycle which was recovered from the place of occurrence does not belong to the petitioner. It is also submitted that if privilege of bail is granted, the petitioner will not abscond rather will cooperate in the trial to prove his innocence.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State, Mr. Rabindra Kumar, opposes the regular bail application of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Rajoun P.S. Case No. 330 of 2022.

7. It is made clear that if the learned Trial Court comes to a conclusion that petitioner, after his release, is trying



to delay the framing of charge or after framing of charge is trying to delay the trial, in both the conditions, the learned Trial Court shall be at liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

8. Accordingly, the instant regular bail application stands allowed.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Rishabh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

