

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.18870 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-234 Year-2025 Thana- SAHAR District- Bhojpur

Piyush Kumar @ Chhote Son of Late Madan Singh Resident of Village -
Ekwari, P.S. - Sahar, Dist. - Bhojpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s :	Mr. Ravindra Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s :	Mr. Shailendra Kumar, APP
For the Informant/s :	Mr. Priya Kumari, Advocate
	Mr. Deepak Kumar, Advocate
	Mr. Diwakar, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRAVEEN KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

4 18-05-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
counsel for the informant and learned Additional Public
Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in a case in
connection with Sahar P.S. Case No. 234 of 2025 dated
15.11.2025 registered for the offences punishable under
Sections 331(4), 305 and 3(5) of the B.N.S.

3. As per the allegation, upon a telephonic call by one
Firoz Alam informing the informant that some persons have
entered his house and have committed theft and when people
assembled then the accused petitioner fled away after taking
articles worth Rs. 3,50,000/- and money amounting to Rs.



50,000/- kept in a box and as per the allegation, the nearby people made a video of the occurrence and upon query, the petitioner was identified as one of the accused persons.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case. It has further been submitted that the video footage on record, as also stated by the informant, suggests that although petitioner was identified as a person fleeing away from the place of occurrence, the informant himself has made it clear in his statement that the face of the person, fleeing away from the place of occurrence, was not visible in the said video footage. It has further been submitted that there is delay of three days in lodging the FIR. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that there is a telephonic conversation on record which suggests to the effect that the mother of the petitioner admitted that petitioner had committed theft in the house of the informant, is nothing but to falsely implicate the petitioner. It has further been submitted that petitioner has got no criminal antecedent and petitioner is a co-villager.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Heard the parties and perused the record.



7. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, let the above named petitioner, in the event of his arrest/surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be enlarged on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court concerned, Bhojpur, Ara in connection with Sahar P.S. Case No. 234 of 2025, subject to conditions as laid down under section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S.

8. The application stands allowed.

(Praveen Kumar, J)

shivam/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

