

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.19169 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-184 Year-2025 Thana- NARHATT District- Nawada

1. Meera Devi Wife of Ashok Prasad @ Karu Mahto Resident of Village- Kujapi, Ps- Chandauti, Dist- Gaya
2. Vishvanath Kumar @ Vishvanath Mahto son of Ashok Prasad @ karu Mahto Resident of Village- Kujapi, Ps- Chandauti, Dist- Gaya
3. Priti Devi @ Priti Kumari Wife of Late Munna Kumar @ Bhutali Resident of village- Olipur, Ps- Narahat, Dist- Nawadah

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

with
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 26614 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-184 Year-2025 Thana- NARHATT District- Nawada

Mukesh Kumar @ Mukesh Prasad Son of Amirak Mahto Resident of Village- Olipur, P.S.- Narhat, District- Nawadah

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :
(In CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 19169 of 2026)
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ram Prawesh Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Md. Aslam Ansari, APP
(In CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 26614 of 2026)
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ram Prawesh Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Dr.Mrityunjaya Kr.Gautam, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN
ORAL ORDER

4 17-06-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
A.P.P. for the State in both the cases.



2. The petitioners of both the cases (Cr. Misc. No.19169 of 2026 and Cr. Misc. No.26614 of 2026) apprehends arrest in connection with Narhat P.S. Case No. 184 of 2025 lodged on 12.06.2025, for the offence punishable under Sections 103(1) & 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. As per the prosecution, FIR has been lodged against four named accused persons (the petitioners of both the cases). It has been alleged by the informant that all the accused persons have assaulted his son and killed him and thereafter, fled away.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submit that the petitioners are innocent and have committed no offence. Counsel submits that the death of the informant's son has been caused at the informant's house itself. He submits that the petitioner nos.1, 2 & 3 of Cr. Misc. No. 19169 of 2026 are the mother in law, brother in law and wife of the deceased, respectively. He submits that the petitioner no.3 is basically the victim in this case and she has unnecessarily been made accused in this case. He further submits that the petitioner of Cr. Misc. No.26614 of 2026 is the *sadhoo* of the deceased. He submits that the death of the informant's son has taken place due to illness and the petitioners were made accused by the family of the deceased unnecessarily. Counsel submits that earlier, case



diary along with postmortem report of the deceased have been called for. From perusal of the postmortem report, it transpires that the doctor had conducted the external examination of the body of the deceased, by which it appears that the external injury cannot be visible due to swelling and marbling of the body and as per the opinion of the doctor, the cause of death cannot be ascertained so viscera were preserved and sent for F.S.L examination and the final opinion was reserved till receiving the F.S.L report. He further submits that the petitioners of both the cases have clean antecedent.

5. Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioners of both the cases and submits that as per the FIR, there is specific allegation that all the accused persons have killed the informant's son. He further submits that earlier, case diary along with postmortem report of the deceased have been called for in this case. From perusal of the case diary, it transpires that the wife of the deceased (petitioner no.3 of Cr. Misc. No. 19169 of 2026) has intimate relation with her *jija* i.e. petitioner of Cr. Misc. No.26614 of 2026 which has been figured by the different witnesses in the case diary, and in para 41 of the case diary which is the analysis of C.D.R on the date and just thereafter, the petitioner of Cr. Misc. No.26614 of 2026



and the petitioner no.3 of Cr. Misc. No. 19169 of 2026 have talked 227 times.

6. As such, in the present facts and circumstances of this case, let the petitioner nos.1 & 2 of Cr. Misc. No. 19169 of 2026 be released on bail, in the event of arrest or surrender before the Trial Court within a period of 4 weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs.30,000/- (Rupees Thirty Thousand) each as mentioned in Section 2(1)(d) of the B.N.S.S., 2023 to the satisfaction of Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Nawada, in connection with Narhat P.S. Case No. 184 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down U/s 482(2) of the B.N.S.S., 2023.

7. So far as the petitioner no.3 of Cr. Misc. No. 19169 of 2026 and the petitioner of Cr. Misc. No.26614 of 2026 are concerned, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to them. Accordingly, their prayer for anticipatory bail stands rejected.

(Dr. Anshuman, J)

Divyansh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

