

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.18780 of 2026**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-16 Year-2026 Thana- TAJPUR District- Samastipur

=====

Dinesh Ray Son of Suhag Ray Resident of village - Harpur Bhindi, Ps-  
Tajpur, Dist- Samastipur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ajay Kumar, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Damodar Prasad Tiwary, APP

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      02-04-2026                      1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned  
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case  
registered for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2),  
115(2), 109(1), 352, 3(5) of the B.N.S., 2023.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that  
petitioner has antecedent of two cases but then both the cases  
were instituted from the side of the informant. It is next  
submitted that the informant alleges that she purchased land  
from Shail Devi on 30.05.2025 and when she went on the land,  
she saw that accused persons had tied their cow, on objection,  
wife of petitioner along with other started abusing, on alarm,  
Binod came to the place of occurrence when petitioner and Ram  
Shresth also came and petitioner assaulted Binod by rod causing



injury on head and repeated the blow causing injury on waist and Ramshresth assaulted by lathi causing injury on leg of Binod.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is next submitted that Tajpur P.S. Case No. 14/2026 has been instituted from the side of the petitioner against the informant and others as such the instant FIR is a counter blast. It is also submitted that a Title Suit No.276/2025 is pending adjudication between the parties. It is next submitted that on allegation of dispute relating to land the occurrence is alleged to have taken place. It is further submitted that even injury suffered by Binod is opined to be simple in nature as would manifest from the injury report annexed as Annexure-3 to the anticipatory bail application.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties



of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Tajpur P.S. Case No.16/2026, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) B.N.S.S.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

amit/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

