

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.4749 of 2024

-
1. Kunal Pandey, Son of Maheshwar Pandey, Permanent Resident of Village Semaria, P.S. Barhara, District Bhojpur, at present residing at New Colony, Pakri, Infront of Circuit House, P.S.- Ara Town, District Bhojpur, Bihar.
 2. Rahul Pandey, Son of Maheshwar Pandey, Permanent Resident of Village Semaria, P.S. Barhara, District Bhojpur, at present residing at New Colony, Pakri, Infront of Circuit House, P.S Ara Town, District Bhojpur, Bihar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Additional Chief Secretary, Department of Home, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Additional Chief Secretary, Department of Home, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director General of Police, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Inspector General of Police (Security) Cum Chairman, Special Security Committee, Government of Bihar, Patna.
5. The Deputy Inspector General of Police (Security), Government of Bihar, Patna.
6. The Superintendent of Police, District Rohtas.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ansh Prasad, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Asif Kalin, AC to AAG-12

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

3 14-07-2026 Heard Mr. Ansh Prasad, learned counsel for the

petitioners and Mr. Asif Kalin, learned AC to AAG-12 for the

State.

2. The present writ petition has been filed by the

petitioners for following reliefs:-

*“(i). Quashing of the ex-parte Order bearing
Memo No. 539 dated 29.01.2024 by which the
Superintendent of Police, Rohtas without any information to
the petitioners forthwith has withdrawn/closed the two
bodyguards deputed with the petitioner with effect from*



01.02.2024.

(ii). Restraining the respondents from giving effect to the order bearing Memo No. 539 dated 29.01.2024 during the pendency of this writ application and/or without leave of this Hon'ble Court; and.

(iii). Restoring the bodyguards, on payment of the Government Charges, during the pendency of the present writ application."

3. The counsel for the petitioners submits that they are involved in a construction work and there is serious threat perception on account of demand of ransom and extortion and were constantly receiving threatening. He further submits that earlier vide order dated 03.10.2017 of the State Special Security Committee, Bihar, the petitioners were granted the privilege of security for a period of six months but unfortunately in spite of his repeated representation before the Authorities concerned, it was never extended. He further submits that the order impugned of the Superintendent of Police, Rohtas was drawn in a most cryptic manner for the reasons best known to him.

4. Learned counsel for the State submitted that there is no extension application pending before the Authorities concerned.

5. In view of the aforesaid facts, it is expected that the Authorities concerned will look into the matter sympathetically and will consider a fresh application of the petitioner within a period of six weeks if such an application is made.



6. With the aforesaid observation and direction, the
present writ petition is disposed of.

(Alok Kumar, J)

khushbu/-

U			
---	--	--	--

