

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.18651 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-307 Year-2025 Thana- WARISNAGAR District- Samastipur

Nathuni Paswan Son of Late Kishuni Paswan R/o Vill. - Satmalpur, P.S. -
Warisnagar, Dist. - Samastipur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Shubhesh Pandey, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Umeshanand Pandit, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 24-03-2026 Heard Mr.Shubhesh Pandey, learned counsel for the

petitioner and Mr.Umeshanand Pandit, learned Additional

Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail, who is in custody since
15.12.2025 in connection with Warisnagar P.S. Case No. 307 of
2025, F.I.R. dated 04.12.2025 registered for the offence
punishable under Sections 126(2) /329(4)/ 115(2)/ 118(1)/ 76/
303(2)/ 109(1)/351(2)/3(5),352 of BNS.

3. Allegation against the petitioner is that he assaulted
to the informant on back with the iron rod. Husband of the
informant came to save her but petitioner assaulted him with the
iron rod on his head resulting his head got cut and blood oozing
out.



4. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has clean antecedent. It appears from the FIR that due to some petty dispute, the present occurrence has taken place. There is case and counter case. Although there is specific allegation against the petitioner in the FIR that he has assaulted to the informant and her husband by means of iron rod and husband of the informant has received the injury and the police, after investigation, submitted the chargesheet against the petitioner and the petitioner is in custody since 15.12.2025.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State, on the other hand, has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that there is direct and specific allegation against the petitioner and the husband of the informant has received the injury, which is grievous in nature.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts, petitioner has clean antecedent, there is case and counter case and due to some petty dispute the present occurrence has taken place, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned J.M.F.C., Samastipur in connection with Warisnagar P.S. Case No. 307 of 2025, with the following conditions:-



(I) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and on his/her absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his/her bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

(II) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(III) And, further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage, it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Nitesh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

