

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.20480 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-234 Year-2023 Thana- SALIMPUR District- Patna

Bablu Kumar @ Bablu Yadav S/o Ram Pravesh Yadav R/o Village - Shahpur,
P.S - Salimpur, District - Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Avinash Raj, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Kalyan Shankar, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

3 15-05-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP
for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Salimpur P.S. Case No. 234 of 2023 instituted for the offences
under Sections 147, 148, 149, 307 & 302 of the Indian Penal
Code.

3. This is the second attempt of the petitioner for
regular bail. The petitioner has renewed his prayer for grant of
regular bail which was earlier rejected on merit by this Court
vide order dated 25.06.2024 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 31866 of
2024, taking into account the nature and gravity of the offence.

4. In compliance of the order dated 03.04.2026, a
report dated 08.05.2026, with regard to the present stage of trial



has been received. From perusal of the aforesaid report, it appears that the present case has been committed to the Court of Sessions, Patna.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is languishing in judicial custody since 05.08.2024 without any rhymes or reason, having two criminal antecedents. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that there is no likelihood of the trial being concluded in the near future, hence, petitioner may be enlarged on bail.

6. Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for grant of bail.

7. There is no new ground to consider the bail petition of the petitioner. From the aforesaid report, it appears that the trial is going to be start soon.

8. In view of the above, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is again *rejected* with a direction to the court below to expedite the trial and conclude the same expeditiously preferably within a period of six months from today. If the trial is not concluded within the period of six months, as stated above, the petitioner will be at liberty to renew his prayer before the court below.

9. The learned Principal District & Sessions Judge, Patna



is hereby directed to assign the case to a competent learned Additional District Judge for conducting the trial at the earliest and to closely monitor the proceedings so as to ensure expeditious framing of charge and prompt examination of witnesses.

10. Let a copy of this order be forthwith communicated to the learned Principal District & Sessions Judge, Patna for information and necessary compliance.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Raj Kishore/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

