

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.18452 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-292 Year-2025 Thana- SOHSARAI District- Nalanda

Rajeev Kumar S/O Chandu Mistry @ Chantu Mistry R/O Village- Mansur
Nagar, P.S- Sohsarai, Distt.- Nalanda.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Satya Prakash, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Prem Kumar Jha, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 24-03-2026 Heard Mr. Satya Prakash, learned counsel for the

petitioner and Mr. Prem Kumar Jha, learned Additional Public

Prosecutor for the State.

2. Petitioner seeks bail who is in custody since
02.10.2025 in connection with Sohsarai P.S. Case No. 292 of
2025, F.I.R. dated 26.09.2025 for the offences punishable under
Section 303(2), 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyay Sanhita, 2023.

3. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner
along with other co-accused persons committed theft in
Marriage Hall of the informant.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner is innocent and he has falsely been implicated in the
present case. He further submits that the allegation as alleged in
the F.I.R. is false and fabricated and the petitioner has not



committed any offences as alleged in the F.I.R. It appears from the FIR that date of the occurrence was on 10.07.2025 and the informant came to know about the occurrence on 11.07.2025 but the FIR was lodge on 26.09.2025 i.e. after delay of about two months. Although on the basis of confessional statement of the petitioner one motor of Crompton company no.463495 has been recovered. He further submits that the police after investigation submitted the charge sheet against the petitioner. The petitioner is in custody since 02.10.2025.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that petitioner carries four criminal antecedents other than the present one of similar nature but fairly submits on the basis of paragraph-3 of the bail application that the petitioner is on bail in the said matters.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned J.M.-1st Class, Nalanda in connection with Sohsarai P.S. Case No. 292 of 2025, subject to the following conditions:-

i. Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be



properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

ii. If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

iii. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Suruchi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

