

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.4397 of 2026

=====

Minku Kumar S/o Rambriksh Prasad, R/o Village - Majidpur, Post Office Itaura, Police Station- Manpur and District- Nalanda.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Bihar Public Service Commission through its Chairman, Bailey Road, Patna.
2. The Secretary, Bihar Public Service Commission, Bailey Road, Patna.
3. The Joint Secretary-cum-Controller of Examinations, Bihar Public Service Commission, Bailey Road, Patna.
4. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Deptt. of General Administration, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
5. The Additional Secretary, Deptt. of General Administration, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Sanjeev Verma, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Raghwanand (GA-11)
		Mr. Sanjay Kr. Tiwari, (AC to GA-11)
For the BPSC	:	Mr. Sanjay Pandey, Advocate
		Mr. Nishant Kr. Jha, Advocate
		Mr. Prabhakar Pahepuri, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN
ORAL ORDER

2 26-03-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned counsel for the State and learned counsel for the Bihar Public Service Commission.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the present writ petition has been filed for issuance of writ of mandamus seeking order/direction to the respondents for the following relief/s:-

“I. Conducting re-valuation of the answer sheets of the petitioner regarding the



Integrated 70th (Main) Combined Competitive Examination held on 25/04/2025, 26/04/2025, 28/04/2025 & 29/04/2025.

II. Restraining the respondents to declare the final result of Integrated 70th (Main) Combined Competitive Examination till the disposal of the present writ petition.

III. Call for the answer sheets of the petitioner from the office of the Commission and get it evaluated from an independent evaluator or agency.

IV. Such other reliefs which the petitioner is found to be entitled for.”

3. Learned counsel appearing for Bihar Public Service Commission raised a preliminary objection and submits that there is no provision for re-evaluation of the papers in the competitive exams.

4. As such, this Court finds that there is no merit in this case. Hence, this writ petition stands dismissed.

(Dr. Anshuman, J)

Divyansh/-

U			
---	--	--	--

