

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.18392 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-275 Year-2021 Thana- BAISI District- Purnia

-
1. Khursid Alam S/O Siddique Resident of Village- Jabaramli, P.s.- Mairagudi, District- Jalpaiguri, West Bengal
 2. Alamgir Mia @ Alomgir Mia S/O Abdul Majid Resident of Village- Nagarlal Bazar, P.s.- Sitakhutti, District- Cooch behar, West Bengal

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Raj Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Mukesh Kumar Singh

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 01-04-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioners seek bail in anticipation of their arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 272 and 273 of the IPC and Section 30(a), 41 and 47 of the Excise Act.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are persons with clean antecedent and the allegation is of recovery of 738 litres of liquor from a pick-up vehicle.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners were not arrested from the spot, as such, nothing was



recovered from their conscious possession and are not the owner of the seized vehicle and they came to be implicated based on confessional statement of Ranjeet in police custody, which does not have any evidentiary value. It is further submitted that after Amendment in the Excise Act, 2018, the concept of deemed possession and presumed offender has been done away with.

5. Learned A.P.P. opposes the anticipatory bail application.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, the petitioners, above-named, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks, is directed to be released on provisional anticipatory bail on their furnishing bail-bonds in the sum of Rs.500/- (Rupees Five Hundred) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court where the case is pending/ successor Court in connection with Baisi P. S. Case No.275 of 2021, subject to the conditions laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

7. The application stands allowed.

8. It is made clear that the learned trial Court after accepting the provisional bail bonds of the petitioners shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioners and in the event,



if it is found that petitioners have antecedent of even one case, then it would be presumed that petitioners for the purposes of obtaining anticipatory bail had concealed their antecedent before this Court, in that event, the present provisional anticipatory bail order shall not be confirmed, but if on verification, it is found that petitioners are persons with clean antecedent, in that event, the provisional anticipatory bail order shall be confirmed forthwith.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

vikash/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

