

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.19490 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-416 Year-2025 Thana- SHAHPUR PATORI District- Samastipur

Manoj Kumar @ Manoj Mahto Son of Umesh Mahto Resident of
Keshonarayanpur, ward No 8, PS- Halai, Dist- Samastipur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rambabu Yadav, Advocate

For the State : Mr. Md. Mushtaque Alam, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 31-03-2026 Heard Mr. Rambabu Yadav, learned counsel for the

petitioner and Mr. Md. Mushtaque Alam, learned APP for the

State.

2. Petitioner seeks bail, who is in custody since
15.10.2025, in connection with Patori P.S. Case No. 416 of 2025,
F.I.R. dated 14.10.2025 registered for the offences punishable
under Sections 317(4), 317(5), 3(5) of the B.N.S., 2023

3. The prosecution case, in brief, is that the informant
and other police personnel were conducting checking near
Dharampur Bande Pokhar, they saw that two persons were coming
from a vehicle. After seeing the police party, both of them tried to
flee from the spot. The police party forcefully stopped the person
who was driving the vehicle and other person managed to flee
away from there and when he was demanded to produce the



vehicle papers but he did not say anything and appeared suspicious. Driver was arrested on the spot and the stolen bike bearing Registration No. BR 31AB 5193 was seized.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and he has been falsely implicated in the present case. He further submits that the allegation as alleged in the F.I.R. is false and fabricated and the petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged in the F.I.R. Although the petitioner was arrested along with the looted motorcycle in question but till date the police has not informed that whether the F.I.R. was instituted against the said motorcycle or not and petitioner has been made accused on the basis of suspicion. He further submits that the police after investigation submitted chargesheet against the petitioner and the petitioner is in custody since 15.10.2025.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that the petitioner carries five more cases of similar nature other than the present one but fairly submits on the basis of paragraph-3 of the bail petition that the petitioner is on bail in the pending matters.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing



bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional District and Sessions Judge, 8th, Samastipur in connection with Patori P.S. Case No. 416 of 2025, subject to the following conditions :-

(1) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

(2) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witness, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(3) And, further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage, it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedents, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Ibrar//-

U		T	
---	--	---	--



