

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.20968 of 2026**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-91 Year-2023 Thana- CHAUSA District- Madhepura

1. Lalan Sharma S/o Late Sukhdeo Sharma Resident of village- Ghashakpur, ward no 11, P.S- Chausha (Falaut), District- Madhepura
2. Kokan Sharma @ Koko Sharma S/o Late Sukhdeo Sharma Resident of village- Ghashakpur, ward no 11, P.S- Chausha (Falaut), District- Madhepura
3. Kulo Sharma S/o Late Sukhdeo Sharma Resident of village- Ghashakpur, ward no 11, P.S- Chausha (Falaut), District- Madhepura
4. Devendra Kumar Sharma @ Indradeo Sharma @ Devendra Sharma S/o Late Sukhdeo Sharma Resident of village- Ghashakpur, ward no 11, P.S- Chausha (Falaut), District- Madhepura
5. Shailesh Sharma @ Shailesh Kumar S/o Devendra Kumar Sharma @ Devendra Sharma @ Indradeo Sharma Resident of village- Ghashakpur, ward no 11, P.S- Chausha (Falaut), District- Madhepura
6. Rajesh Kumar Sharma @ Rajesh Sharma S/o Kokan Sharma Resident of village- Ghashakpur, ward no 11, P.S- Chausha (Falaut), District- Madhepura
7. Brajesh Ram @ Brajesh Kumar @ Brajesh Kumar Ram S/o Kailash Ram Resident of village- Ghashakpur, ward no 11, P.S- Chausha (Falaut), District- Madhepura

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Subhash Kumar Jha, Advocate

For the State : Mr.Jharkhandi Upadhyay, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      30-04-2026      Heard learned counsel for the petitioners; learned APP  
for the State and learned counsel for the informant.



2. The petitioners seek bail in connection with Chausha (Fulaut) P.S. Case No. 91 of 2023 registered for the offence punishable under Sections 341, 323, 324, 307, 302, 504 and 506/34 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. The petitioners and others are accused of firing and killing the deceased.

4. The petitioners are in custody since 12.08.2025.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that only two gun shot injuries has been found on the deceased and the author of the injury are named specifically in the FIR. There is general and omnibus allegation against the petitioners. He further submits that co-accused have been acquitted of all the charges.

6. Learned counsel for the Informant and the State have vehemently opposed the prayer for bail and has submitted that the petitioners had absconded and therefore the trial was separated and the other co-accused have faced trial. Moreover, from the allegation, it appears that the petitioners are one of the assailants of the deceased.

7. Considering the fact that the petitioners are alleged to have assaulted the deceased, I am not inclined to grant bail to



the petitioners.

8. Accordingly, the application stands dismissed.

**(Sandeep Kumar, J)**

P. Kumar

|   |  |    |  |
|---|--|----|--|
| U |  | T. |  |
|---|--|----|--|

