

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.18730 of 2026**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-14 Year-2026 Thana- MAHARAJGANJ District- Siwan

Manoj Kumar Ram S/o Late Parsuram Ram R/o Village - Kasdeora (Akil Tola), PS- Maharjganj , Dist - Siwan

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

|                          |   |                                   |
|--------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|
| For the Petitioner/s     | : | Mr. Ashok Kumar, Advocate         |
| For the Opposite Party/s | : | Mr. Nirmal Kumar Sinha, APP       |
| For the Informant        | : | Mr. Bijay Prakash Singh, Advocate |

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

- 2      02-04-2026                      1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned A.P.P. for the State and the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant.
2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 109, 118(1), 117(2), 351(2), 352, 303(2) and 3(5) of the BNS, 2023.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and the informant alleges that accused persons including the petitioner came on 14.01.2026 at 05:00 PM and petitioner assaulted him by *farsa* causing injury on head and also assaulted Sunita on hand causing injury.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant, who is his own brother. It is also submitted that informant and petitioner are having dispute relating to property. It is further submitted that an altercation had taken place in which both sides assaulted each other. It is further submitted that even presuming what has been alleged is true without admitting then the blow is not alleged to have been repeated and the injury suffered by his brother on head is opined to be simple in nature, but then it is fairly submitted that the injury suffered by Sunita (niece of the petitioner) is opined to be grievous which is swelling on hand and is not the vital part of the body. It is also submitted that petitioner never had any intention of assaulting his niece, but when fight ensued in between him and his brother, she came to save her father when she got pushed. It is also submitted that informant even implicated his mother. It is next submitted that petitioner is not a criminal.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State as well as the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant opposes the anticipatory bail application of the petitioner, but then are not in a position to rebut the submissions of the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner that the blow was not



repeated and the injury on head of the informant has been opined to be simple in nature and the injury suffered by Sunita is on non-vital part of the body.

6. After hearing the learned counsel for the parties and taking into consideration the fact that petitioner and the informant are brothers and petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and the injury on vital part of the body has been opined to be simple in nature, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Maharajganj P.S. Case No. 14 of 2026 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of BNSS.

**7. Accordingly, the instant anticipatory bail application stands allowed.**

**(Satyavrat Verma, J)**

Rishabh/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

