

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.18401 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-187 Year-2025 Thana- SRIPUR District- Gopalganj

Arjun Sah, S/o Munnilal Sah, Resident of Village- Rajpur (Ganesh Dumar),
PS- Sripur, District- Gopalganj

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Pankaj Kumar Dubey, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Akshay Lal Pandit, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR JHA
ORAL ORDER

5 14-07-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. In the present case, the petitioner seeks bail in connection with Sripur P.S. Case No. 187 of 2025, registered for the alleged offence under Sections 80(2), 238, 3(5) of BNS and Section 3/4 of Dowry Prohibition Act.

3. The petitioner was married with the daughter of the informant in the year 2021 and on 02.08.2025, the petitioner informed the informant that his daughter had gone missing from her matrimonial home. The informant showed his suspicion that his daughter was killed and her dead body was burnt.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case. The true fact of the case is that on 01.08.2025, the



deceased made complaint about pain in her stomach and she was treated in a government hospital. In the night, pain again started and when she was being taken to hospital for her treatment, she died on her way. The informant and her family members reached the matrimonial home of the deceased and the dead body was cremated in their presence. Thereafter, they filed the present false case. The learned counsel further submits that the marriage had been solemnized five years back and demand of dowry after five years and causing death of the daughter of the informant after five years is not believable. The learned counsel also submits that the petitioner is in custody since 27.11.2025 and is having clean antecedents.

5. The learned APP for the State vehemently opposes the submission made on behalf of the petitioner. The learned APP submits that the petitioner is the husband of the deceased and the death was caused in the matrimonial home of the daughter of the informant and there is no satisfactory explanation as the informant has stated in the written report that the death occurred on 02.08.2025 and the FIR was lodged on the same day. So the story of the petitioner fallen ill or being treated or died within 24 hours and the informant joining the cremation are not plausible. The learned APP further submits that no paper



for treatment has been annexed.

6. Having regard to the nature of the allegation against the petitioner for causing dowry death of his wife, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

7. Accordingly, his prayer for grant of bail is rejected.

8. However, the learned trial court is directed to expedite the trial and conclude the same at the earliest.

(Arun Kumar Jha, J)

V.K.Pandey/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

