

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.4820 of 2026

=====

Akshay Kumar S/o Sri Arvind Kumar Singh, Resident of Village- Sajour, P.S.
- Sajour (Shahkund), District- Bhagalpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Additional Chief Secretary, Revenue and Land Reforms Department, Patna.
2. The Collector, Bhagalpur.
3. The Additional Collector, Bhagalpur.
4. The Deputy Collector, Land Reforms, Sadar, Bhagalpur.
5. The Circle Officer, Shahkund Circle, Bhagalpur.
6. Mosmat Rani Devi, W/o Late Ganesh Prasad, Age- not known to the petitioner, Resident of Village- Phatehpur, P.S. - Shakund, Present P.S. - Sajour, District- Bhagalpur.
7. Ranjeet Kumar, W/o Late Ganesh Prasad, Age not known to the petitioner, Resident of Village - Phatehpur, P.S. - Shakund, Present P.S.- Sajour, District- Bhagalpur.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Sushil Kumar Jha, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Government Pleader (24)
For the Resp. No.6	:	Mr. Devi Das Srivastava, Advocate
For the Resp. No.7	:	Mrs.Madhu Prasad @ Madhubala Verma, Advocate
	:	Mr. Subodh Prasad, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

3 20-07-2026 Heard the parties.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the
grant of following relief(s):

*“(i) against the order dated 30.06.2025
passed by the learned Additional Collector in
Mutation Revision No.19/2024-25 whereby and
where under the learned Additional Collector*



has been pleased to confirm the order dated 05.02.2024 passed by respondent Deputy Collector, Land Reforms in Mutation Appeal No.76/2023-24 and set aside the order of mutation passed by respondent Circle Officer on a wrong and erroneous assumption of facts, vide order dated 16.01.2023 wherein the land in question has been mutated in favour of the petitioner.

(ii) against the order dated 05.02.2024 passed by respondent Deputy Collector, Land Reforms in Mutation Appeal No.76/2023-24 whereby and where under the order of mutation passed by respondent Circle Officer vide order dated 16.01.2023 in favour of the petitioner has been set aside, erroneously and without jurisdiction.

(iii) for a direction to the respondents to affirm the order of mutation passed by respondent Circle Officer vide order dated 16.01.2023 in favour of the petitioner in Mutation Case No.4471 R27/2022-23.



(iv) for restraining the local authorities' i.e. respondent nos. 2 to 5 from interfering with peaceful possession of the petitioner over the land in question, in any manner, consequently.”

I.A. No. 1 of 2026

3. The aforesaid Interlocutory Application has been preferred for withdrawal of the writ petition.
4. In that background, I.A. No. 1 of 2026 is allowed.
5. Accordingly, the writ petition as also the Interlocutory Application stand disposed of.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

vinayak/-

U			
---	--	--	--

