

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.19159 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-131 Year-2023 Thana- MOUZAHDIPUR District- Bhagalpur

1. Raushan Yadav S/o Surendra Yadav R/o Village - Surat Nagar, Manikpur, P.S - Babarganj, District - Bhagalpur
2. Diwakar Yadav @ Saurav Kumar S/o Surendra Yadav R/o Village - Surat Nagar, Manikpur, P.S - Babarganj, District - Bhagalpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Md.Najmul Hodda

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Sanjay Kumar Singh

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 06-04-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioners seek bail in anticipation of their arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 447, 341, 342, 323, 307, 325, 506/ 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are persons with clean antecedent and the informant alleges that he along with his two friends on 06.04.2023 were going to Manikpur to attend a feast on motorcycle, when they were intercepted by the accused persons including the petitioners. It is further alleged that informant and



Subham fled while Mukesh was captured by the accused persons. The informant reached Manikpur and informed the police, the police reached and recovered Mukesh who was brutally assaulted. It is next alleged that the reason for the occurrence is that the accused persons intend to capture land of the informant which is in the name of his maternal grandfather and presently is subjudice.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners have been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is next submitted that from perusal of the allegations as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that allegation of assault is not specific and on account of dispute relating to land, the occurrence is alleged to have taken place, but then, it is submitted that it absolutely does not stand to reason that if petitioners intended to capture the land of the informant which is in the name of his maternal grandfather, then why they would have left and would have captured Mukesh and assaulted him. It is also submitted that if privilege of anticipatory bail is granted, the petitioners will not abscond rather will cooperate in the investigation to prove their innocence. It is next submitted that petitioners are not criminals.

5. Learned A.P.P. opposes the anticipatory bail



application.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, the petitioners, above-named, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks, are directed to be released on anticipatory bail on their furnishing bail-bonds in the sum of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court where the case is pending/successor Court in connection with Mojahidpur (Babarganj) P. S. Case No.131 of 2023, subject to the conditions laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

7. The application stands allowed.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

vikash/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

