

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.18547 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-390 Year-2025 Thana- PARSA District- Saran

=====

Munna Rai @ Munna Kumar @ Munna Ray, S/o Shambu Ray, Resident of
Village- Jaminpur, P.S.- Derni, District- Saran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ravi Prakash, Advocate.

For the State : Mr. Umanath Mishra, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

3 06-05-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail, apprehending his arrest,
in connection with Parsa P.S. Case No. 390 of 2025 dated
19.12.2025 registered for the offences punishable under Section
30(a) of Bihar Prohibition and Excise (Amendment) Act, 2022.

3. As per allegation, 320 litres of illicit country made
liquor has been recovered from a Tata Indigo Car bearing
registration No. WB-24Y-2471. As per further case of the
Police, the driver of the vehicle was trying to run away with the
vehicle after dashing. However, the villagers tried to apprehend
the driver but he fled away leaving behind the car.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
Petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this



case. He further submits that the petitioner was not present at the place of occurrence nor he was any way connected with the alleged offence because neither he was owner nor driver of the vehicle in question.

5. It is also stated in paragraph no. 2 of the bail petition that the petitioner has not moved this Court earlier either for anticipatory bail or regular one in the instant case.

6. It has further been stated in paragraph no.3 of the bail petition that the petitioner has been made accused in two other cases of Excise Act.

7. However, learned APP for the State vehemently opposes the prayer of the Petitioner for bail submitting that the petitioner has two criminal antecedents of similar nature which shows that he is habitual offenders of Excise Act and, therefore, he does not deserve bail.

8. Considering the criminal antecedents of the petitioner of similar nature, I am not persuaded to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail.

9. Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner stands rejected.

10. However, the petitioner is directed to surrender before learned court below and in case of surrender, learned



court below is requested to hear the bail petition of the
petitioner on the same day.

(Jitendra Kumar, J)

S.Ali/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

