

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.18144 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-31 Year-2025 Thana- DARBHANGA SADAR District-
Darbhanga

=====

Abhishek Sinha @ Abhishek Kumar Sinha Son of Ashok Kumar Sinha
Resident of Mohalla - Anand Nagar, Kamre Hehal, P.S.- Hehal, District -
Ranchi, Jharkhand. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Alok Kumar, Advocate
	:	Mr. Sudhir Kumar Sinha, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Awadhesh Kumar Singh, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RITESH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 25-03-2026 Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and

the learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Sadar P.S. Case No. 31 of 2025, for allegedly having committed offence under Sections 318(4), 316(2), 303(2) and 3(5) of the BNS.

3. As per the prosecution case, goods worth Rs.5,00,000/- were loaded on a truck bearing registration no. CG07CT-8537 by the driver of the said truck which was to reach Orissa, but the same did not reach the destination place and upon repeated calls on the mobile number of the driver, the same was found to be switched off. Then the informant talked to the owner of the truck, but the number of the owner of the truck was also switched off. It was alleged by the informant that the



transport company, the owner of the truck and the driver are involved in misappropriation of the goods and they have sold the same for their illegal gain.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is a transport agent and his only duty was to provide the truck by facilitating in between the informant and the owner of the the truck. The petitioner has got no concern either with the truck or with the materials, which was loaded on the said truck. The truck was later on seized by the police and misappropriation, if any, has been caused by the owner and the driver of the truck and the petitioner being transport commission agent, is not at all involved in the said misappropriation. The petitioner has got no criminal antecedent.

5. The learned APP for the State vehemently opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

6. Having heard the learned counsel for the parties and after considering the fact that the petitioner is only a commission agent/transport agent, who only facilitated the truck to the informant through the owner, for which he got a meager amount towards his commission and further the truck has already been seized by the Police, let the petitioner, above named, in the event of arrest or surrender within a period of six



weeks, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Darbhanga in connection with Sadar P.S. Case No. 31 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S., with further condition:

(1) The learned Court concerned shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage, it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedents, the court concerned shall take steps for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Ritesh Kumar, J)

AjayMishra/-

U			
---	--	--	--

