

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.18652 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-96 Year-2025 Thana- BHAGALPUR KOTWALI District-
Bhagalpur

=====

Satish Kumar @ Satish Kumar Singh S/o Late Ganauri Singh Resident of
Mohalla- Budhanath Road, Kharmanchak, P.S.- Towali, District- Bhagalpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Sharda Nand Mishra, Advocate Mr. Dhananjay Kr. Gupta, Advocate Ms. Isha Mishra, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s :		Mr. Ashok Kumar Singh, APP
For the Informant	:	Mr. Sadanand Pd. Deo, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 17-04-2026 Heard Mr. Sharda Nand Mishra, learned counsel for

the petitioner, Mr. Sadanand Pd. Deo, learned counsel for the

informant and Mr. Ashok Prasad Singh, learned Additional

Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in
connection with Kotwali P.S. Case No. 96 of 2025, F.I.R. dated
18.08.2025 for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2),
127(2), 118(1), 109, 303(2), 324(2), 352, 351(2), 329(3) and
115(2) of the BNS, 2023.

3. According to prosecution case, all the accused
persons including this petitioner armed with deadly weapons
have abused and assaulted the informant and his nephews due to



which they received several injuries.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has clean antecedent and he has falsely been implicated in the present case. It appears from the FIR that the FIR is in two parts. According to part one, there is general and omnibus allegation against all the accused persons including this petitioner and according to part two, there is specific allegation against the petitioner that he has assaulted to Keshav Singh by means of iron chain due to which he received injury but the injury report of the injured person suggest that the injury is simple in nature caused by hard and blunt substance.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances that the petitioner has clean antecedent and the injury is simple in nature, let the petitioner, above named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of thirty days from the date of receipt of the order, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned C.J.M, Bhagalpur in connection with Kotwali P.S. Case No. 96 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down



under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure and with other following conditions:-

i. Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

ii. If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

iii. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Vanisha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

